

# Flexible list colorings: Maximizing the number of requests satisfied

Hemanshu Kaul

**Illinois Institute of Technology**

[www.math.iit.edu/~kaul](http://www.math.iit.edu/~kaul)

[kaul@iit.edu](mailto:kaul@iit.edu)

Joint work with

Rogers Mathew (Indian Inst of Tech Hyderabad)

Jeffrey Mudrock (U of South Alabama)

Michael Pelsmajer (Illinois Tech)

# Precoloring Extension Problem

- Recall proper  $k$ -coloring, proper  $k$ -list coloring,  $\chi(G)$ ,  $\chi_\ell(G)$ .

## Precoloring Extension Problem

- Is there a proper coloring of a graph  $G$  subject to some of the vertices having **prescribed colors**? A function  $r$  with non-empty domain  $D \subseteq V(G)$  and co-domain of a palette of colors.

## Precoloring Extension Problem

- Is there a proper coloring of a graph  $G$  subject to some of the vertices having **prescribed colors**? A function  $r$  with non-empty domain  $D \subseteq V(G)$  and co-domain of a palette of colors.
- This is a classical problem that has been studied under many contexts. Its not always possible to have such a proper coloring, so typically we look for restrictions on the structure induced by the precolored vertices, etc.

## Precoloring Extension Problem

- Is there a proper coloring of a graph  $G$  subject to some of the vertices having **prescribed colors**? A function  $r$  with non-empty domain  $D \subseteq V(G)$  and co-domain of a palette of colors.
- What if such a precoloring does not extend, can we instead ask for a coloring which matches the precoloring on many vertices (say, on a constant fraction of the precolored vertices)?

## Precoloring Extension Problem

- Is there a proper coloring of a graph  $G$  subject to some of the vertices having **prescribed colors**? A function  $r$  with non-empty domain  $D \subseteq V(G)$  and co-domain of a palette of colors.
- What if such a precoloring does not extend, can we instead ask for a coloring which matches the precoloring on many vertices (say, on a constant fraction of the precolored vertices)?
- **Always possible**, by permuting the colors in a  $k$ -coloring of  $G$ , we can easily obtain a  $k$ -coloring of  $G$  that matches  $r$  on at least  $|dom(r)|/k$  vertices.

## List Coloring with Requests

- [Dvořák, Norin, and Postle \(2019\)](#): A proper list coloring, but a preferred color is given for some subset of vertices and we wish to color as many vertices in this subset with its preferred color as possible; a flexible version of the classical precoloring extension problem.

## List Coloring with Requests

- Given a graph  $G$  and a list assignment  $L$  of  $G$ .  
A **request** of  $L$  is a function  $r$  with non-empty domain  $D \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $r(v) \in L(v)$  for each  $v \in D$ .  
For any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ ,  $(G, L, r)$  is  **$\epsilon$ -satisfiable** if there exists a proper  $L$ -coloring  $f$  of  $G$  such that  $f(v) = r(v)$  for at least  $\epsilon|D|$  vertices in  $D$ .

## List Coloring with Requests

- Given a graph  $G$  and a list assignment  $L$  of  $G$ .  
A **request** of  $L$  is a function  $r$  with non-empty domain  $D \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $r(v) \in L(v)$  for each  $v \in D$ .  
For any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ ,  $(G, L, r)$  is  **$\epsilon$ -satisfiable** if there exists a proper  $L$ -coloring  $f$  of  $G$  such that  $f(v) = r(v)$  for at least  $\epsilon|D|$  vertices in  $D$ .
- $(G, L)$  is  **$\epsilon$ -flexible** if  $(G, L, r)$  is  $\epsilon$ -satisfiable whenever  $r$  is a request of  $L$ .  
 $G$  is  **$(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible** if  $(G, L)$  is  $\epsilon$ -flexible whenever  $L$  is a  $k$ -assignment for  $G$ .

## List Coloring with Requests

- $(G, L)$  is  $\epsilon$ -flexible if  $(G, L, r)$  is  $\epsilon$ -satisfiable whenever  $r$  is a request of  $L$ .  
 $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible if  $(G, L)$  is  $\epsilon$ -flexible whenever  $L$  is a  $k$ -assignment for  $G$ .
- If  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible, then it immediately follows:
  - (i)  $G$  is  $(k', \epsilon')$ -flexible for any  $k' \geq k$  and  $\epsilon' \leq \epsilon$ ;
  - (ii) any spanning subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible;
  - (iii)  $G$  is  $k$ -choosable.

## Previous works

- Dvořák, Norin, and Postle mostly focused on  $d$ -degenerate graphs, which are known to be  $(d + 1)$ -choosable. They showed that  $d$ -degenerate graphs are all  $(d + 2, \epsilon)$ -flexible for some  $\epsilon > 0$ .
- The main open problem Dvořák-Norin-Postle asked was:  
Are all  $d$ -degenerate graphs,  $(d + 1, \epsilon(d))$ -flexible for some  $\epsilon(d) > 0$ ?

## Previous works

- The main open problem Dvořák-Norin-Postle asked was:  
Are all  $d$ -degenerate graphs,  $(d + 1, \epsilon(d))$ -flexible for some  $\epsilon(d) > 0$ ?
- They showed there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that every planar graph  $G$  is  $(6, \epsilon)$ -flexible.
- Flexible list coloring has been studied extensively for planar graphs that are 5-choosable, and for restricted subclasses of planar graphs that are  $k$ -choosable with  $k < 5$ . Several papers on  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexibility, with  $k \in \{5, 4, 3\}$ , of planar graphs with large enough girth or excluding certain cycles.

## Motivation for our work

- Find the largest possible  $\epsilon$  for which  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible; that is, one would prefer to have a larger portion of the requested colors on vertices satisfied.

## Motivation for our work

- Find the largest possible  $\epsilon$  for which  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible; that is, one would prefer to have a larger portion of the requested colors on vertices satisfied.
- Only previous result of this flavor is the following.

Bradshaw, T. Masařík, L. Stacho (2022): Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $\Delta(G) \geq 3$  that is not a copy of  $K_{\Delta(G)+1}$ . Then,  $G$  is  $(\Delta(G), 1/(6\Delta(G)))$ -flexible. Moreover,  $1/(6\Delta(G))$  is within a constant factor of being best possible.

## Improving older results - I

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*Suppose  $G$  is  $d$ -degenerate. Then,  $G$  is  $(d + 2, \frac{1}{2^{d+1}})$ -flexible.*

## Improving older results - I

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*Suppose  $G$  is  $d$ -degenerate. Then,  $G$  is  $(d + 2, \frac{1}{2^{d+1}})$ -flexible.*

- Proved using a randomized algorithm:  
We can order vertices of  $G$  as  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  such that for all  $i \in [n]$ ,  $v_i$  has at most  $d$  neighbors  $v_j$  with  $j > i$ , and order each list of colors,  $L(v_i)$ , such that the requested color (if it exists) is the first color. Now pick uniformly from the first two available colors as we color the vertices in order.

## Improving older results - I

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*Suppose  $G$  is  $d$ -degenerate. Then,  $G$  is  $(d + 2, \frac{1}{2^{d+1}})$ -flexible.*

- This improves the following:

Theorem (Dvořák, Norin, and Postle (2019))

*Suppose  $G$  is  $d$ -degenerate. Then,*

*$G$  is  $\left(d + 2, \frac{1}{(d + 2)^{(d+1)^2}}\right)$ -flexible.*

## Improving older results - I

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmayer (2022+))

*Suppose  $G$  is  $d$ -degenerate. Then,  $G$  is  $(d + 2, \frac{1}{2^{d+1}})$ -flexible.*

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmayer (2022+))

*Let  $G$  be an  $s$ -choosable graph. Then,  $G$  is  $(s + 1, 1/\chi(G^2))$ -flexible.*

## Improving older results - I

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*Suppose  $G$  is  $d$ -degenerate. Then,  $G$  is  $(d + 2, \frac{1}{2^{d+1}})$ -flexible.*

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*Let  $G$  be an  $s$ -choosable graph. Then,  $G$  is  $(s + 1, 1/\chi(G^2))$ -flexible.*

This yields an improvement on our first theorem above for  $d$ -degenerate graphs with maximum degree  $\Delta < 2^d/d$ .

Corollary (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -degenerate graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ .*

*If  $G$  is  $s$ -choosable, then  $G$  is*

*$(s + 1, 1/(\Delta(2d - 1) + d - d^2 + 1))$ -flexible.*

## Improving older results - II

- If we focus solely on  $k$  and allow arbitrarily small  $\epsilon > 0$ , then our colorings need only satisfy the color request at a single vertex. Then, without loss of generality, we need to only study requests with domain of size 1, as those have the most restrictive requirement.

## Improving older results - II

- If we focus solely on  $k$  and allow arbitrarily small  $\epsilon > 0$ , then our colorings need only satisfy the color request at a single vertex. Then, without loss of generality, we need to only study requests with domain of size 1, as those have the most restrictive requirement.
- Dvořák, Norin, and Postle say “A necessary condition for flexibility is that requests with singleton domain can be satisfied. Coming back to the case of  $d$ -degenerate graphs with lists of size  $d + 1$ , even proving this necessary condition is non-trivial and we can only do it in the special case that  $d + 1$  is a prime.”

### Theorem (Dvořák, Norin, and Postle (2019))

*Let  $d \geq 2$  such that  $d + 1$  is a prime. If  $G$  is a  $d$ -degenerate graph,  $L$  is a  $(d + 1)$ -assignment, and  $r$  is a request for  $G$  with domain of size 1, then  $(G, L, r)$  is 1-satisfiable.*

## Improving older results - II

Theorem (Dvořák, Norin, and Postle (2019))

*Let  $d \geq 2$  such that  $d + 1$  is a prime. If  $G$  is a  $d$ -degenerate graph,  $L$  is a  $(d + 1)$ -assignment, and  $r$  is a request for  $G$  with domain of size 1, then  $(G, L, r)$  is 1-satisfiable.*

Using the Alon-Tarsi Theorem we are able to extend their Theorem to all  $d$  for bipartite  $d$ -degenerate graphs.

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*For any bipartite  $d$ -degenerate graph  $G$  with a  $(d + 1)$ -list assignment  $L$  and request  $r$  with domain  $D$  of size 1,  $(G, L, r)$  is 1-satisfiable.*

## Improving older results - II

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*For any bipartite  $d$ -degenerate graph  $G$  with a  $(d + 1)$ -list assignment  $L$  and request  $r$  with domain  $D$  of size 1,  $(G, L, r)$  is 1-satisfiable.*

We can order vertices of  $G$  as  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  such that for all  $i \in [n]$ ,  $v_i$  has at most  $d$  neighbors  $v_j$  with  $j > i$ . Suppose that  $v_k$  is the vertex in the domain of  $r$ .

We show that there is a way, using Menger's Theorem, to orient the edges of  $G$  to obtain a digraph in which every vertex has out-degree at most  $d$  and  $v_k$  has out-degree zero. The result then follows from Alon-Tarsi.

# Maximizing the number of vertex requests satisfied

For each graph  $G$ , what is the largest  $\epsilon$  so that  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible for some  $k$ ?

## Maximizing the number of vertex requests satisfied

- It is possible that  $r(v)$  is the same color for all  $v \in D$ ; for example, let  $L$  be the  $k$ -list assignment such that  $L(v) = [k]$  for all  $v \in V(G)$  and let  $r(v) = 1$  for all  $v \in D$ . Then at most  $\alpha(G[D])$  vertices in  $D$  will have their request fulfilled. So,  $\epsilon \leq \min_{\emptyset \neq D \subseteq V(G)} \alpha(G[D])/|D|$  for any  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible graph  $G$ .

## Maximizing the number of vertex requests satisfied

- It is possible that  $r(v)$  is the same color for all  $v \in D$ ; for example, let  $L$  be the  $k$ -list assignment such that  $L(v) = [k]$  for all  $v \in V(G)$  and let  $r(v) = 1$  for all  $v \in D$ . Then at most  $\alpha(G[D])$  vertices in  $D$  will have their request fulfilled. So,  $\epsilon \leq \min_{\emptyset \neq D \subseteq V(G)} \alpha(G[D])/|D|$  for any  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible graph  $G$ .

- The Hall ratio of a graph  $G$  is  $\rho(G) = \max_{\emptyset \neq H \subseteq G} \frac{|V(H)|}{\alpha(H)}$ .

The Hall ratio was first studied in 1990 by Hilton and Johnson Jr. under the name Hall-condition number in the context of list coloring. In the past 30 years, the Hall ratio has received much attention due to its connection with both list and fractional coloring.

# Maximizing the number of vertex requests satisfied

For each graph  $G$ , what is the largest  $\epsilon$  so that  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible for some  $k$ ?

The **Hall ratio** of a graph  $G$  is  $\rho(G) = \max_{\emptyset \neq H \subseteq G} \frac{|V(H)|}{\alpha(H)}$ .

Proposition (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmayer (2022+))

*There exists  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible if and only if  $\epsilon \leq 1/\rho(G)$ .*

# Maximizing the number of vertex requests satisfied

For each graph  $G$ , what is the largest  $\epsilon$  so that  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible for some  $k$ ?

The **Hall ratio** of a graph  $G$  is  $\rho(G) = \max_{\emptyset \neq H \subseteq G} \frac{|V(H)|}{\alpha(H)}$ .

**Proposition** (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*There exists  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible if and only if  $\epsilon \leq 1/\rho(G)$ .*

- We define the **list flexibility number** of  $G$ , denoted  $\chi_{flex}(G)$ , to be the smallest  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $(k, 1/\rho(G))$ -flexible.

## Maximizing the number of vertex requests satisfied

- We define the **list flexibility number** of  $G$ , denoted  $\chi_{flex}(G)$ , to be the smallest  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $(k, 1/\rho(G))$ -flexible.

## List flexibility number

- $\chi_{flex}(G)$  is the smallest  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $(k, 1/\rho(G))$ -flexible.
- Is maximizing  $\epsilon$  meaningfully different from a flexible list coloring with smaller  $\epsilon > 0$ ? In other words, **are there any graphs  $G$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , but  $G$  is not  $(k, 1/\rho(G))$ -flexible?** The following result shows that the answer is yes.

Proposition (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

Suppose  $G = K_{3,7}$ . Then,  $G$  is  $(3, 1/10)$ -flexible and  $\chi_{flex}(G) > 3$ .

## List flexibility number

- $\chi_{flex}(G)$  is the smallest  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $(k, 1/\rho(G))$ -flexible.
- $\chi_{\ell}(G) \leq \chi_{flex}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ .
- It follows that  $\chi_{flex}(K_n) = n$  and  $\chi_{flex}(C_k) = 3$  for odd  $k$ . It is natural to ask whether a Brooks-type theorem is true for  $\chi_{flex}$  as well.  
Question: What are all the graphs  $G$  such that  $\chi_{flex}(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$ ?

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs degeneracy

- Can the Dvořák-Norin-Postle-Conjecture that  $d$ -degenerate graphs  $G$  are  $(d + 1, \epsilon(d))$ -flexible for some  $\epsilon(d) > 0$  be strengthened to  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq d + 1$ ?  
**Question:** Does there exist a graph  $G$  with degeneracy  $d$  satisfying  $\chi_{flex}(G) > d + 1$ ?

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs degeneracy

- Can the Dvořák-Norin-Postle-Conjecture that  $d$ -degenerate graphs  $G$  are  $(d + 1, \epsilon(d))$ -flexible for some  $\epsilon(d) > 0$  be strengthened to  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq d + 1$ ?

**Question:** Does there exist a graph  $G$  with degeneracy  $d$  satisfying  $\chi_{flex}(G) > d + 1$ ?

- Alon (2000) showed for any graph  $G$  with degeneracy  $d$ ,  $(1/2 - o(1)) \log_2(d + 1) \leq \chi_{\ell}(G)$  and is sharp up to a factor of 2. How sharp is this lower bound for  $\chi_{flex}(G)$ ?

**Question:** Suppose

$\mathcal{F}(d) = \min\{\chi_{flex}(G) : \text{the degeneracy of } G \text{ is at least } d\}$ .  
What is the asymptotic behavior of  $\mathcal{F}(d)$  as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ ?

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs degeneracy

- Alon (2000) showed for any graph  $G$  with degeneracy  $d$ ,  $(1/2 - o(1)) \log_2(d + 1) \leq \chi_{\ell}(G)$  and is sharp up to a factor of 2. How sharp is this lower bound for  $\chi_{flex}(G)$ ?

**Question:** Suppose

$\mathcal{F}(d) = \min\{\chi_{flex}(G) : \text{the degeneracy of } G \text{ is at least } d\}$ .

What is the asymptotic behavior of  $\mathcal{F}(d)$  as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ ?

- We are able to show  $\mathcal{F}(d) = O(d)$  while Alon's result implies  $\mathcal{F}(d) = \Omega(\log_2(d))$ , as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ .

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs degeneracy

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

Suppose  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex,  $s$ -choosable graph with  $\chi_{flex}(G) = m$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $l = \lceil n/\rho(G) \rceil$ . Let  $J = G \vee G$ . Then, for any real number  $r > 2$ ,

$$\chi_{flex}(J) \leq \max \left\{ \left\lceil l + \frac{\log_2(2n-l)}{1-H(1/r)} \right\rceil, \lceil r(s-1) + l \rceil, m \right\}.$$

- $H$  is the binary Entropy function.

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs degeneracy

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

Suppose  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex,  $s$ -choosable graph with  $\chi_{flex}(G) = m$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $l = \lceil n/\rho(G) \rceil$ . Let  $J = G \vee G$ . Then, for any real number  $r > 2$ ,

$$\chi_{flex}(J) \leq \max \left\{ \left\lceil l + \frac{\log_2(2n-l)}{1-H(1/r)} \right\rceil, \lceil r(s-1) + l \rceil, m \right\}.$$

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

Suppose  $n$  is a positive even integer, and  $G = P_n \vee P_n$ . If  $p \in (0, 1)$  and  $k > \max\{2, n/2\}$  satisfy

$$(n/2)(1-p)^{k-2} + np^{k-1-n/2}(p + (k-n/2)(1-p)) \leq 1, \text{ then}$$
$$\chi_{flex}(G) \leq k.$$

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs degeneracy

Theorem (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmayer (2022+))

Suppose  $n$  is a positive even integer, and  $G = P_n \vee P_n$ . If  $p \in (0, 1)$  and  $k > \max\{2, n/2\}$  satisfy  $(n/2)(1 - p)^{k-2} + np^{k-1-n/2}(p + (k - n/2)(1 - p)) \leq 1$ , then  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq k$ .

### Corollary

Suppose  $n$  is a positive even integer satisfying  $n \geq 50$ . Then,  $\chi_{flex}(P_n \vee P_n) \leq \lceil n/2 + \ln(n) \rceil$ .

Since degeneracy of  $P_n \vee P_n$  is  $n + 1$ , it follows

### Corollary

$\mathcal{F}(d)$  grows no faster than  $d/2$  as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ .

## $\chi_{flex}(G)$ vs $\chi_\ell(G)$

- Recall  $\chi_\ell(G) \leq \chi_{flex}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ .
- It is natural to ask whether  $\chi_{flex}(G)$  can be bounded above by a function of  $\chi_\ell(G)$ .  
**Question:** Does there exist a function  $f$  such that for every graph  $G$ ,  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq f(\chi_\ell(G))$ ?

## $\chi_{flex}(G)$ vs $\chi_\ell(G)$

- Recall  $\chi_\ell(G) \leq \chi_{flex}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ .
- It is natural to ask whether  $\chi_{flex}(G)$  can be bounded above by a function of  $\chi_\ell(G)$ .  
Question: Does there exist a function  $f$  such that for every graph  $G$ ,  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq f(\chi_\ell(G))$ ?
- We show that there is no universal constant  $C$  such that  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq \chi_\ell(G) + C$ .

## $\chi_{flex}(G)$ vs $\chi_\ell(G)$

- We show that there is no universal constant  $C$  such that  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq \chi_\ell(G) + C$ .

Proposition (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmayer (2022+))

Suppose that  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t_0 = \sum_{i=0}^l \binom{2l+1}{2l+1-i} (2l)^i$ .

(i) For  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $\chi_{flex}(K_{2l+1,t}) \geq 2l + 2$ .

(ii) For  $s \leq t_0$ ,  $\chi_\ell(K_{2l+1,s}) \leq \lceil 3l/2 \rceil$  whenever  $l \geq 100000$ .

Corollary

Let  $t_0 = \sum_{i=0}^l \binom{2l+1}{2l+1-i} (2l)^i$ .

For each  $l \geq 100000$ ,  $\chi_{flex}(K_{2l+1,t}) \geq \frac{4}{3}\chi_\ell(K_{2l+1,t}) + \frac{4}{3}$ .

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs List packing

- An idea implicit in an earlier work (for  $k$ -trees):

Proposition (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*Suppose that  $G$  is a graph,  $L$  is a  $k$ -assignment for  $G$ , and there is a set  $S$  of  $mk$  proper  $L$ -colorings such that for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  and each color  $c \in L(v)$ , vertex  $v$  is colored by  $c$  in exactly  $m$  of the  $L$ -colorings of  $S$ . Then,  $(G, L, r)$  is  $1/k$ -satisfiable for any request  $r$  of  $L$ .*

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs List packing

- An idea implicit in an earlier work (for  $k$ -trees):

**Proposition (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))**

*Suppose that  $G$  is a graph,  $L$  is a  $k$ -assignment for  $G$ , and there is a set  $S$  of  $mk$  proper  $L$ -colorings such that for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  and each color  $c \in L(v)$ , vertex  $v$  is colored by  $c$  in exactly  $m$  of the  $L$ -colorings of  $S$ . Then,  $(G, L, r)$  is  $1/k$ -satisfiable for any request  $r$  of  $L$ .*

- For a non-trivial tree  $T$ , it is easy to see that for any 2-assignment  $L$ , there exist 2 proper  $L$ -colorings that are distinct on each vertex. Here  $m = 1$  and  $k = 2$ . Since  $\rho(T) = 2$  and  $\chi_{flex}(T) \geq \chi_\ell(T) = 2$ , above Proposition implies  $\chi_{flex}(T) = 2$ .

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs List packing

- **List packing** is a relatively new notion that was first suggested by [Alon, Fellows, and Hare \(1996\)](#), and formally defined in a recent paper of [Cambie, Batenburg, Davies, Kang \(2021+\)](#) to appear in [RSA 2023](#).

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs List packing

- **List packing** is a relatively new notion that was first suggested by [Alon, Fellows, and Hare \(1996\)](#), and formally defined in a recent paper of [Cambie, Batenburg, Davies, Kang \(2021+\)](#) to appear in *RSA 2023*.
- Let  $L$  be a list assignment for a graph  $G$ . An  **$L$ -packing of  $G$  of size  $k$**  is a set of proper  $L$ -colorings  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  of  $G$  such that  $f_i(v) \neq f_j(v)$  whenever  $i, j \in [k]$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $v \in V(G)$ . The **list packing number** of  $G$ , denoted  $\chi_l^*(G)$ , is the least  $k$  such that  $G$  has a proper  $L$ -packing of size  $k$  whenever  $L$  is a  $k$ -assignment for  $G$ .
- $\chi(G) \leq \chi_l(G) \leq \chi_l^*(G)$ .

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs List packing

Proposition (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*Suppose that  $G$  is a graph,  $L$  is a  $k$ -assignment for  $G$ , and there is a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $mk$  proper  $L$ -colorings such that for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  and each color  $c \in L(v)$ , vertex  $v$  is colored by  $c$  in exactly  $m$  of the  $L$ -colorings of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then,  $(G, L, r)$  is  $1/k$ -satisfiable for any request  $r$  of  $L$ .*

Corollary (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*For any graph  $G$ ,  $G$  is  $(\chi_{\ell}^*(G), 1/\chi_{\ell}^*(G))$ -flexible.*

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs List packing

- The **list packing number** of  $G$ , denoted  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G)$ , is the least  $k$  such that  $G$  has a proper  $L$ -packing of size  $k$  whenever  $L$  is a  $k$ -assignment for  $G$ .

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}^*(G).$$

Corollary (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*For any graph  $G$ ,  $G$  is  $(\chi_{\ell}^*(G), 1/\chi_{\ell}^*(G))$ -flexible.*

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs List packing

- The **list packing number** of  $G$ , denoted  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G)$ , is the least  $k$  such that  $G$  has a proper  $L$ -packing of size  $k$  whenever  $L$  is a  $k$ -assignment for  $G$ .

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}^*(G).$$

Corollary (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*For any graph  $G$ ,  $G$  is  $(\chi_{\ell}^*(G), 1/\chi_{\ell}^*(G))$ -flexible.*

- In view of the Corollary above, and since  $\chi_{\ell}(G) \leq \chi_{flex}(G)$ , it is natural to ask whether  $\chi_{flex}(G)$  can be bounded above by a function of  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G)$ . More ambitiously,

**Conjecture:** For any graph  $G$ ,  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}^*(G)$ .

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs List packing

- Conjecture: For any graph  $G$ ,  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}^*(G)$ .
- Cambie and Härmäläinen (2023+):  $\chi_{\ell}^*(K_{3,7}) = 3$ , disprove this conjecture with help of K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+):  $\chi_{flex}(K_{3,7}) > 3$ , and conjecture there are infinitely many counterexamples.

## $\chi_{flex}$ vs List packing

- Conjecture: For any graph  $G$ ,  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}^*(G)$ .
- Cambie and Härmäläinen (2023+):  $\chi_{\ell}^*(K_{3,7}) = 3$ , disprove this conjecture with help of K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmayer (2022+):  $\chi_{flex}(K_{3,7}) > 3$ , and conjecture there are infinitely many counterexamples.
- However, it follows from our Proposition and a result of Cambie, Batenburg, Davies, Kang (2021+) that: Every graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices is  $(\chi_{\ell}^*(G), 1/((5 + o(1))\rho(G)(\log n)^2))$ -flexible where the  $o(1)$  term tends to 0 as  $n$  tends to infinity.

## $\chi_{flex}$ using List packing

Proposition (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*Suppose that  $G$  is a graph,  $L$  is a  $k$ -assignment for  $G$ , and there is a set  $S$  of  $mk$  proper  $L$ -colorings such that for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  and each color  $c \in L(v)$ , vertex  $v$  is colored by  $c$  in exactly  $m$  of the  $L$ -colorings of  $S$ . Then,  $(G, L, r)$  is  $1/k$ -satisfiable for any request  $r$  of  $L$ .*

Corollary (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*For any graph  $G$ ,  $G$  is  $(\chi_\ell^*(G), 1/\chi_\ell^*(G))$ -flexible.*

## $\chi_{flex}$ using List packing

Corollary (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*For any graph  $G$ ,  $G$  is  $(\chi_{\ell}^*(G), 1/\chi_{\ell}^*(G))$ -flexible.*

- For a non-trivial tree  $T$ , it is easy to see that  $(\chi_{\ell}^*(T) = 2$ . Since  $\rho(T) = 2$  and  $\chi_{flex}(T) \geq \chi_{\ell}(T) = 2$ , above Proposition implies  $\chi_{flex}(T) = 2$ .
- It follows from above Proposition and a result of [Cambie, Batenburg, Davies, Kang \(2021+\)](#) that:  
Every graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices is  $(\chi_{\ell}^*(G), 1/((5 + o(1))\rho(G)(\log n)^2))$ -flexible where the  $o(1)$  term tends to 0 as  $n$  tends to infinity.

## $\chi_{flex}$ using List packing

- Using  $\chi_{\ell}^*(P_n) = 2$  and our Proposition, we get

Proposition (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*The grid  $P_n \square P_m$  is  $(3, 1/3)$ -flexible.*

And, we are able to obtain the best possible result for the  $n$ -ladder  $P_2 \square P_n$ .

Proposition (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*Suppose  $G = P_2 \square P_n$  with  $n \geq 2$ . Then,  $G$  is  $(3, 1/2)$ -flexible. Consequently,  $\chi_{flex}(G) = 3$ .*

and, more generally

Proposition (K., Mathew, Mudrock, Pelsmajer (2022+))

*Suppose  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible. Then  $G \square H$  is  $(\max\{k, \Delta(H) + \chi_{\ell}(G)\}, \epsilon/\chi(H))$ -flexible.*

## Thank You! Questions?

- Dvořák, Norin, and Postle (2019): Are all  $d$ -degenerate graphs,  $(d + 1, \epsilon(d))$ -flexible for some  $\epsilon(d) > 0$ ?
- For any  $d$ -degenerate graph  $G$  with a  $(d + 1)$ -list assignment  $L$  and request  $r$  with domain  $D$  of size 1, show that  $(G, L, r)$  is 1-satisfiable.
- What are all the graphs  $G$  such that  $\chi_{flex}(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$ ?
- Does there exist a graph  $G$  with degeneracy  $d$  satisfying  $\chi_{flex}(G) > d + 1$ ?
- What is the asymptotic behavior of  $\mathcal{F}(d) = \min\{\chi_{flex}(G) : \text{the degeneracy of } G \text{ is at least } d\}$  as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ ? Linear or Logarithmic in  $d$ ?
- Can  $\chi_{flex}(G)$  be bounded above by a function of  $\chi_{\ell}(G)$ ?
- Can  $\chi_{flex}(G)$  be bounded above by a function of  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G)$ ?  
Are there infinitely many counterexamples to  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}^*(G)$ ?
- Let  $\epsilon_G$  be the function that maps each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  to the largest  $\epsilon$  such that  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible. Clearly,  $\epsilon_G(k) = a/b$  for some integers  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq |V(G)|$  and  $\epsilon_G(k) \leq 1/\rho(G)$ . Study  $\epsilon_G$  for various  $G$ .

## Thank You! Questions?

- Dvořák, Norin, and Postle (2019): Are all  $d$ -degenerate graphs,  $(d + 1, \epsilon(d))$ -flexible for some  $\epsilon(d) > 0$ ?
- For any  $d$ -degenerate graph  $G$  with a  $(d + 1)$ -list assignment  $L$  and request  $r$  with domain  $D$  of size 1, show that  $(G, L, r)$  is 1-satisfiable.
- What are all the graphs  $G$  such that  $\chi_{flex}(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$ ?
- Does there exist a graph  $G$  with degeneracy  $d$  satisfying  $\chi_{flex}(G) > d + 1$ ?
- What is the asymptotic behavior of  $\mathcal{F}(d) = \min\{\chi_{flex}(G) : \text{the degeneracy of } G \text{ is at least } d\}$  as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ ? Linear or Logarithmic in  $d$ ?
- Can  $\chi_{flex}(G)$  be bounded above by a function of  $\chi_{\ell}(G)$ ?
- Can  $\chi_{flex}(G)$  be bounded above by a function of  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G)$ ?  
Are there infinitely many counterexamples to  $\chi_{flex}(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}^*(G)$ ?
- Let  $\epsilon_G$  be the function that maps each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  to the largest  $\epsilon$  such that  $G$  is  $(k, \epsilon)$ -flexible. Clearly,  $\epsilon_G(k) = a/b$  for some integers  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq |V(G)|$  and  $\epsilon_G(k) \leq 1/\rho(G)$ . Study  $\epsilon_G$  for various  $G$ .