

Wiener-Hopf Factorization Technique for Time-Inhomogeneous Finite Markov Chains

Tomasz R. Bielecki

tbielecki@iit.edu
<http://math.iit.edu/~bielecki>

Ziteng Cheng

zcheng7@hawk.iit.edu

Igor Cialenco

cialenco@iit.edu
<http://math.iit.edu/~igor>

Ruoting Gong

rgong2@iit.edu
<http://mypages.iit.edu/~rgong2>

Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology
W 32nd Str, John T. Rettaliata Engineering Center, Room 208, Chicago, IL 60616, USA

November 26, 2019

ABSTRACT: This work contributes to the theory of Wiener-Hopf type factorization for finite Markov chains. This theory originated in the seminal paper [BRW80], which treated the case of finite time-homogeneous Markov chains. Since then, several works extended the results of [BRW80] in many directions. However, all these extensions were dealing with time-homogeneous Markov case. The first work dealing with the time-inhomogeneous situation was [BCGH19], where Wiener-Hopf type factorization for time-inhomogeneous finite Markov chain with piecewise constant generator matrix function was derived. In the present paper we go further: we derive and study Wiener-Hopf type factorization for time-inhomogeneous finite Markov chain with the generator matrix function being a fairly general matrix valued function of time.

KEYWORDS: Time-inhomogeneous finite Markov chain, Markov family, Feller semigroup, time homogenization, Wiener-Hopf factorization.

MSC2010: 60J27, 60J28, 60K25

1 Introduction

The main goal of this paper is to develop a Wiener-Hopf type factorization for finite time-inhomogeneous Markov chains. In order to motivate this goal, we first provide a brief account of the Wiener-Hopf factorization for time-homogeneous Markov chains based on [BRW80].

Towards this end, consider a finite state space \mathbf{E} with cardinality m , and let Λ be a sub-Markovian generator matrix of dimension $m \times m$, that is, $\Lambda(i, j) \geq 0$, $i \neq j$, and $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}} \Lambda(i, j) \leq 0$. Next, let v be a real valued function on \mathbf{E} , such that $v(i) \neq 0$ for all $i \in \mathbf{E}$, and define

$$\mathbf{E}_{\pm} := \{i \in \mathbf{E} : \pm v(i) > 0\}.$$

We also denote by m_{\pm} cardinality of \mathbf{E}_{\pm} , and we let $\mathbf{V} := \text{diag}\{v(i) : i \in \mathbf{E}\}$ be the diagonal matrix of dimension $m \times m$. Finally, let \mathbf{I} and \mathbf{I}^{\pm} denote the identity matrices of dimensions $m \times m$ and $m_{\pm} \times m_{\pm}$, respectively. Using probabilistic methods, the following result was proved in [BRW80].

Theorem 1.1 ([BRW80, Theorem I]). *For any $c > 0$, there exists a unique pair of matrices (Π_c^+, Π_c^-) of dimensions $m_- \times m_+$ and $m_+ \times m_-$ respectively, such that the matrix*

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}^+ & \Pi_c^- \\ \Pi_c^+ & \mathbf{I}^- \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible and the following factorization holds true

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1}(\Lambda - c\mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{S} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_c^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{Q}_c^- \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}^{-1}, \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathbf{Q}_c^{\pm} are $m_{\pm} \times m_{\pm}$ sub-Markovian generator matrices. Moreover, Π_c^{\pm} are strictly substochastic.

The right-hand side of (1.1) is said to constitute the Wiener-Hopf factorization of the matrix $\mathbf{V}^{-1}(\Lambda - c\mathbf{I})$. While the factorization (1.1) is algebraic in its nature, it admits a very important probabilistic interpretation, which leads to very efficient computation of some useful expectations. More precisely, let X be a time-homogeneous Markov chain taking values in $\mathbf{E} \cup \partial$, where ∂ is a coffin state, with generator Λ . For $t \geq 0$, we define the additive functional

$$\phi(t) := \int_0^t v(X_u) du,$$

and two stopping times

$$\tau_t^{\pm} := \inf \{u \geq 0 : \pm \phi(u) > t\}.$$

Theorem 1.2 ([BRW80, Theorem II]). *For any $i \in \mathbf{E}_{\mp}$ and $j \in \mathbf{E}_{\pm}$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(e^{-c\tau_0^{\pm}} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{\tau_0^{\pm}}=j\}} \mid X_0 = i \right) = \Pi_c^{\pm}(i, j). \quad (1.2)$$

For any $i, j \in \mathbf{E}_{\pm}$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(e^{-c\tau_t^{\pm}} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{\tau_t^{\pm}}=j\}} \mid X_0 = i \right) = e^{t\mathbf{Q}_c^{\pm}}(i, j). \quad (1.3)$$

Both Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 have been studied for more general classes of Markov process, as well as for various types of stopping times, that naturally occur in applications (cf. [KW90], [APU03], [Wil08], [MP11], and references therein). However, in all these studies the Markov processes have been assumed to be time-homogeneous.

As it turns out, the time-inhomogeneous case is more intricate, and direct (naive) generalizations or applications of the time-homogeneous case to the non-homogeneous case can not be done in principle. Specifically, let now X be a finite state time-inhomogeneous Markov chain taking values in $\mathbf{E} \cup \partial$, with generator function Λ_s , $s \geq 0$. The first observation that one needs to make is that the Wiener-Hopf factorization of the matrix $\mathbf{V}^{-1}(\Lambda_s - c\mathbf{I})$ can be done for each $s \geq 0$ separately,

exactly as described in Theorem 1.1. However, the resulting matrices $\Pi_c^\pm(s)$ and $Q_c^\pm(s)$, $s \geq 0$, are not useful for computing the expectations of the form

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-c\tau_t^\pm(s)} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{\tau_t^\pm(s)}=j\}} \mid X_s = i\right),$$

where

$$\tau_t^\pm(s) := \inf \left\{ u \geq s : \pm \int_s^{s+u} v(X_r) dr > t \right\}.$$

This makes the study of the time-inhomogeneous case a highly nontrivial and novel enterprise. As it will be seen from the discussion presented below, an entirely new theory needs to be put forth for this purpose. The research effort in this direction has been originated in [BCGH19]. This work contributes to the continuation of the research endeavor in this direction.

2 Setup and the main goal of the paper

2.1 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we let \mathbf{E} be a finite set, with $|\mathbf{E}| = m > 1$. We define $\bar{\mathbf{E}} := \mathbf{E} \cup \{\partial\}$, where ∂ denotes the coffin state isolated from \mathbf{E} . Let $(\Lambda_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, where $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$, be a family of $m \times m$ generator matrices, i.e., their off-diagonal elements are non-negative, and the entries in their rows sum to zero. We additionally define $\Lambda_\infty := 0$, the $m \times m$ matrix with all entries equal to zero.

We make the following standing assumption:

Assumption 2.1.

- (i) *There exists a universal constant $K \in (0, \infty)$, such that $|\Lambda_s(i, j)| \leq K$, for all $i, j \in \mathbf{E}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$.*
- (ii) *$(\Lambda_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, considered as a mapping from \mathbb{R}_+ to the set of $m \times m$ generator matrices, is continuous with respect to s .*

Let $v : \bar{\mathbf{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $v(i) \neq 0$ for any $i \in \mathbf{E}$ and $v(\partial) = 0$, $\mathbf{V} := \text{diag}\{v(i) : i \in \mathbf{E}\}$, $\bar{v} := \max_{i \in \mathbf{E}} |v(i)|$, and $\underline{v} := \min_{i \in \mathbf{E}} |v(i)|$. We will use the following partition of the set \mathbf{E}

$$\mathbf{E}_+ := \{i \in \mathbf{E} : v(i) > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}_- := \{i \in \mathbf{E} : v(i) < 0\}.$$

We assume that both \mathbf{E}_+ and \mathbf{E}_- are non-empty, and that the indices of the first $m_+ = |\mathbf{E}_+|$ (respectively, last $m_- = |\mathbf{E}_-|$) rows and columns of any $m \times m$ matrix correspond to the elements in \mathbf{E}_+ (respectively, \mathbf{E}_-). Accordingly, we write Λ_s and \mathbf{V} in the block form

$$\Lambda_s = \begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{E}_+ & \mathbf{E}_- \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{E}_+ \\ \mathbf{E}_- \end{array} & \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_s & \mathbf{B}_s \\ \mathbf{C}_s & \mathbf{D}_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{V} = \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{E}_+ & \mathbf{E}_- \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}_+ & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{V}_- \end{pmatrix} \end{array}. \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

In what follows we let $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbf{E}$, and $\mathcal{X}_\pm := \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbf{E}_\pm$. The Borel σ -field on \mathcal{X} (respectively, \mathcal{X}_\pm) is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) := \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes 2^{\mathbf{E}}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}_\pm) := \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes 2^{\mathbf{E}_\pm}$). Accordingly, we

let $\overline{\mathcal{X}} := \mathcal{X} \cup (+\infty, \partial)$ (respectively, $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\pm} := \mathcal{X}_{\pm} \cup (+\infty, \partial)$) be the one-point completion of \mathcal{X} (respectively, \mathcal{X}_{\pm}), and let $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}) := \sigma(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \cup \{(\infty, \partial)\})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\pm}) := \sigma(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}_{\pm}) \cup \{(\infty, \partial)\})$). A pair $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}$ consists of the time variable s and the space variable i .

We will also use the following notations for various spaces of real-valued functions:

- $L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ is the space of $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ -measurable, and bounded functions f on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$, with $f(+\infty, \partial) = 0$.
- $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ is the space of functions $f \in L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ such that $f(\cdot, i) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for all $i \in \mathbf{E}$, where $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is the space of functions vanishing at infinity.
- $C_c(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ is the space of functions $f \in L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ such that $f(\cdot, i) \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for all $i \in \mathbf{E}$, where $C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is the space of functions with compact support.
- $C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ is the space of functions $f \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ such that, for any $i \in \mathbf{E}$, $\partial f(\cdot, i)/\partial s$ exists and belongs to $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (for convenience, we stipulate that $\partial f(\infty, \partial)/\partial s = 0$).
- $C_c^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ is the space of functions $f \in C_c(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ such that, for any $i \in \mathbf{E}$, $\partial f(\cdot, i)/\partial s$ exists (for convenience, we stipulate that $\partial f(\infty, \partial)/\partial s = 0$).

Sometimes $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ will be replaced by $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_+$ or $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_-$ when the functions are defined on these spaces, in which case the set \mathbf{E} will be replaced by \mathbf{E}_+ or \mathbf{E}_- , respectively, in the above definitions. Note that each function on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ can be viewed as a time-dependent vector of size m , which can be split into a time-dependent vector of size m_+ (a function on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_+$) and a time-dependent vector of size m_- (a function on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_-$).

We conclude this section by introducing some more notations, this time for operators:

- $\tilde{\Lambda} : L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ is the multiplication operator associated with $(\Lambda_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, defined by

$$(\tilde{\Lambda}g)(s, i) := (\Lambda_s g(s, \cdot))(i), \quad (s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}}. \quad (2.2)$$

- Similarly, we define multiplication operators $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} : L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_+) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_+)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} : L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_-) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_-)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} : L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_+) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_-)$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}} : L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_-) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_+)$, associated with the blocks $(\mathbf{A}_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, $(\mathbf{B}_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, $(\mathbf{C}_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, and $(\mathbf{D}_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ given in (2.1), respectively.

Given the above, for any¹ $g = (g^+, g^-)^T \in L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$, where $g^{\pm} \in L^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\pm})$, we have

$$\tilde{\Lambda}g = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}g^+ + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}g^- \\ \tilde{\mathbf{C}}g^+ + \tilde{\mathbf{D}}g^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.3)$$

2.2 A time-inhomogeneous Markov family corresponding to $(\Lambda_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and related passage times

We start with introducing a time-inhomogeneous Markov Family corresponding to $(\Lambda_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$. Then, we proceed with a study of some passage times related to this family.

¹The superscript T will be used to denote the transpose of a vector or matrix.

2.2.1 A time-inhomogeneous Markov family \mathcal{M}^* corresponding to $(\Lambda_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$

We take Ω^* as the collection of \mathbf{E} -valued functions ω^* on \mathbb{R}_+ , and $\mathcal{F}^* := \sigma\{X_t^*, t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$, where X is the coordinate mapping $X^*(\omega^*) := \omega^*(\cdot)$. Sometimes we may need the value of $\omega^* \in \Omega^*$ at infinity, and in such case we set $X_\infty^*(\omega^*) = \omega^*(\infty) = \partial$, for any $\omega^* \in \Omega^*$. We endow the space $(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}^*)$ with a family of filtrations $\mathbb{F}_s^* := \{\mathcal{F}_t^{s,*}, t \in [s, \infty]\}$, $s \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$, where, for $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{s,*} := \bigcap_{r>t} \sigma(X_u^*, u \in [s, r]), \quad t \in [s, \infty); \quad \mathcal{F}_\infty^{s,*} := \sigma\left(\bigcup_{t \geq s} \mathcal{F}_t^{s,*}\right),$$

and $\mathcal{F}_\infty^{\infty,*} := \{\emptyset, \Omega^*\}$. We denote by

$$\mathcal{M}^* := \{(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}^*, \mathbb{F}_s^*, (X_t^*)_{t \in [s, \infty]}, \mathbb{P}_{s,i}^*), (s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}}\}$$

a canonical *time-inhomogeneous* Markov family. That is,

- $\mathbb{P}_{s,i}^*$ is a probability measure on $(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}_\infty^{s,*})$ for $(s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}}$;
- the function $P^* : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times 2^{\overline{\mathbf{E}}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq \infty$ as

$$P^*(s, i, t, B) := \mathbb{P}_{s,i}^*(X_t^* \in B)$$

is measurable with respect to i for any fixed $s \leq t$ and $B \in 2^{\overline{\mathbf{E}}}$;

- $\mathbb{P}_{s,i}^*(X_s^* = i) = 1$ for any $(s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}}$;
- for any $(s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}}$, $s \leq t \leq r \leq \infty$, and $B \in 2^{\overline{\mathbf{E}}}$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}_{s,i}^*(X_r^* \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_t^{s,*}) = \mathbb{P}_{t, X_t^*}^*(X_r^* \in B), \quad \mathbb{P}_{s,i}^* - \text{a.s.}$$

Let $\mathbf{U}^* := (\mathbf{U}_{s,t}^*)_{0 \leq s \leq t < \infty}$ be the evolution system (cf. [Bot14]) corresponding to \mathcal{M}^* defined by

$$\mathbf{U}_{s,t}^* f(i) := \mathbb{E}_{s,i}^*(f(X_t^*)), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty, \quad i \in \mathbf{E}, \quad (2.4)$$

for all functions (column vectors) $f : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.² We assume that

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\mathbf{U}_{s, s+h}^* f(i) - f(i)) = \Lambda_s f(i), \quad \text{for any } (s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}}, \quad (2.5)$$

for all $f : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

It is well known that a standard version of the Markov family \mathcal{M}^* (cf. [GS04, Definition I.6.6]) can be constructed. This is done by first constructing via Peano-Baker series the evolution system $\mathbf{U}^* = (\mathbf{U}_{s,t}^*)_{0 \leq s \leq t < \infty}$ that solves

$$\frac{d\mathbf{U}_{s,t}^*}{dt} = \Lambda_t \mathbf{U}_{s,t}^*, \quad \mathbf{U}_{s,s}^* = \mathbf{I}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

Since Λ_t is a generator matrix, $\mathbf{U}_{s,t}^*$ is positive preserving and contracting with $\mathbf{U}_{s,t}^* \mathbf{1}_m = \mathbf{1}_m$. In addition, due to Assumption 2.1-(i) and (2.6), it holds for any $0 \leq s < t$ and $r \in (0, t - s)$ that

$$\|\mathbf{U}_{s, t+r}^* - \mathbf{U}_{s,t}^*\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{U}_{s,t}^* (\mathbf{U}_{t, t+r}^* - \mathbf{I})\|_\infty \leq Cr,$$

²Note that for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, X_t^* takes values in \mathbf{E} .

and

$$\|\mathbf{U}_{s+r,t}^* - \mathbf{U}_{s,t}^*\|_\infty = \|(1 - \mathbf{U}_{s,s+r}^*) \mathbf{U}_{s+r,t}^*\|_\infty \leq Cr,$$

for some positive constant C , so that $\mathbf{U}_{s,t}^*$ is strongly continuous in s and t . The above, together with the finiteness of the state space, implies that \mathbf{U}^* is a Feller evolution system. The corresponding standard version can then be constructed (cf. [GS04, Theorem I.6.3]).

In view of the above, we will consider the standard version of \mathcal{M}^* in what follows, and, for simplicity, we will preserve the notation $\mathcal{M}^* = \{(\Omega^*, \mathcal{F}^*, \mathbb{F}_s^*, (X_t^*)_{t \geq s}, \mathbb{P}_{s,i}^*), (s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}}\}$, in which Ω^* is restricted to the collection of \mathbf{E} -valued càdlàg functions ω^* on \mathbb{R}_+ with $\omega^*(\infty) = \partial$.

2.2.2 Passage times related to \mathcal{M}^*

For any $s \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$, we define an additive functional $\phi^*(s)$ as

$$\phi_t^*(s) := \int_s^t v(X_u^*) du, \quad t \in [s, \infty],$$

and we stipulate $\phi_\infty^*(s, \omega^*) = \infty$ for every $\omega^* \in \Omega^*$. In addition, for any $s \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we define associated passage times

$$\tau_\ell^{+,*}(s) := \inf \{t \in [s, \infty] : \phi_t^*(s) > \ell\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_\ell^{-,*}(s) := \inf \{t \in [s, \infty] : \phi_t^*(s) < -\ell\}.$$

Both $\tau_\ell^{+,*}(s)$ and $\tau_\ell^{-,*}(s)$ are \mathbb{F}_s^* -stopping times since, $\phi^*(s)$ is \mathbb{F}_s^* -adapted, has continuous sample paths, and \mathbb{F}_s^* is right-continuous (cf. [JS03, Proposition 1.28]). For notational convenience, if no confusion arises, we will omit the parameter s in $\phi_t^*(s)$ and $\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}(s)$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of the setup above and therefore its proof is omitted.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $s \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$, $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $\omega^* \in \Omega^*$ we have $X_{\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}(s)}^*(\omega^*) \in \mathbf{E}_\pm \cup \{\partial\}$. In particular, if $\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}(s, \omega^*) < \infty$, then $X_{\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}(s)}^*(\omega^*) \in \mathbf{E}_\pm$.*

2.3 The main goal of the paper

Our main interest is to derive a Wiener-Hopf type method for computing expectations of the following form

$$\mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(g^\pm \left(\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}, X_{\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}}^* \right) \right) \tag{2.7}$$

for $g^\pm \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$, $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}$. In view of Lemma 2.2, it is enough to compute the expectation in (2.7) for $g^\pm \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$ in order to compute the analogous expectation for $g \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$.

The Wiener-Hopf type method derived in this paper generalizes the Wiener-Hopf type method of [BRW80] that was developed for the time-homogeneous Markov chains.

Remark 2.3. The time-homogeneous version of the problem of computing the expectation of the type given in (2.7) appears frequently in time-homogeneous fluid models (see e.g. [Rog94] and the references therein). Time inhomogeneous extensions of such models is important and natural due to temporal (seasonal) effect, for example. This is one practical motivation for the study presented in this paper.

In order to proceed, we introduce the following operators:

- $J^+ : L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$ is defined as

$$(J^+ g^+)(s, i) := \mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(g^+ \left(\tau_0^{+,*}, X_{\tau_0^{+,*}}^* \right) \right), \quad (s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_-}. \quad (2.8)$$

Clearly, for any $g^+ \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, $|(J^+ g^+)(s, i)| \leq \|g^+\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})} < \infty$ for any $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_-$, and $(J^+ g^+)(\infty, \partial) = 0$, so that $J^+ g^+ \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$.

- $J^- : L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ is defined as,

$$(J^- g^-)(s, i) := \mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(g^- \left(\tau_0^{-,*}, X_{\tau_0^{-,*}}^* \right) \right), \quad (s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}. \quad (2.9)$$

- For any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ : L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ is defined as

$$(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i) := \mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(g^+ \left(\tau_\ell^{+,*}, X_{\tau_\ell^{+,*}}^* \right) \right), \quad (s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}. \quad (2.10)$$

- For any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathcal{P}_\ell^- : L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$ is defined as,

$$(\mathcal{P}_\ell^- g^-)(s, i) := \mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(g^- \left(\tau_\ell^{-,*}, X_{\tau_\ell^{-,*}}^* \right) \right), \quad (s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_-}. \quad (2.11)$$

- For any $(s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}$, we define

$$(G^+ g^+)(s, i) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} (\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+(s, i) - g^+(s, i)), \quad (2.12)$$

for any $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ such that the limit in (2.12) exists and is finite.

- For any $(s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_-}$, we define

$$(G^- g^-)(s, i) := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} (\mathcal{P}_\ell^- g^-(s, i) - g^-(s, i)), \quad (2.13)$$

for all $g^- \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$ such that the above limit in (2.13) exists and is finite.

Remark 2.4. For $g^+ \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, $\ell \in (0, \infty)$, and $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_-$, it can be shown that

$$\mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(g^+ \left(\tau_\ell^{+,*}, X_{\tau_\ell^{+,*}}^* \right) \right) = (J^+ \mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i). \quad (2.14)$$

Similarly, for $g^- \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$, $\ell \in (0, \infty)$, and $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(g^- \left(\tau_\ell^{-,*}, X_{\tau_\ell^{-,*}}^* \right) \right) = (J^- \mathcal{P}_\ell^- g^-)(s, i). \quad (2.15)$$

The identity (2.14) will be verified in Remark 4.5 below, while (2.15) can be proved in an analogous way with v replaced by $-v$.

In view of (2.8)–(2.11) and (2.14)–(2.15), the expectation of the form (2.7) for any $g^\pm \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$, $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}$, can be represented in terms of the operators J^\pm and \mathcal{P}_ℓ^\pm .

3 Main Results

We now state the main results of this paper, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. Theorem 3.1 is analytical in nature, and it provides the *Wiener-Hopf factorization* for the generator $V^{-1}(\partial/\partial s + \tilde{\Lambda})$. This factorization is given in terms of operators (S^+, H^+, S^-, H^-) showing in the statement of the theorem. Theorem 3.2 is probabilistic in nature, and provides the probabilistic interpretation of the operators (S^+, H^+, S^-, H^-) , which is key for various applications of our Wiener-Hopf factorization.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(\Lambda_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be a family of $m \times m$ generator matrices satisfying Assumption 2.1, and let $\tilde{\Lambda}$ be the associated multiplication operator defined as in (2.2). Let $v : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $v(i) \neq 0$ for any $i \in \mathbf{E}$, $v(\partial) = 0$, and $V = \text{diag}\{v(i) : i \in \mathbf{E}\}$. Then, there exists a unique quadruple of operators (S^+, H^+, S^-, H^-) which solves the following operator equation*

$$V^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \tilde{\Lambda} \right) \begin{pmatrix} I^+ & S^- \\ S^+ & I^- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g^+ \\ g^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I^+ & S^- \\ S^+ & I^- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H^+ & 0 \\ 0 & -H^- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g^+ \\ g^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^\pm \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm}), \quad (3.1)$$

subject to the conditions below:

(a $^\pm$) $S^\pm : C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm}) \rightarrow C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\mp})$ is a bounded operator such that

- (i) for any $g^\pm \in C_c(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$ with $\text{supp } g^\pm \subset [0, \eta_{g^\pm}] \times \mathbf{E}_\pm$ for some constant $\eta_{g^\pm} \in (0, \infty)$, we have $\text{supp } S^\pm g^\pm \subset [0, \eta_{g^\pm}] \times \mathbf{E}_\mp$;
- (ii) for any $g^\pm \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$, we have $S^\pm g^\pm \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\mp})$.

(b $^\pm$) H^\pm is the strong generator of a strongly continuous positive contraction semigroup $(Q_\ell^\pm)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ on $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(H^\pm) = C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$.

Theorem 3.2. *For any $g^\pm \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$, we have*

$$S^\pm g^\pm = J^\pm g^\pm \quad \text{and} \quad Q_\ell^\pm g^\pm = \mathcal{P}_\ell^\pm g^\pm, \quad \text{for any } \ell \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where J^\pm and $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^\pm)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ are defined in (2.8)–(2.11). Moreover, G^+ given in (2.12) is the (strong) generator of $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+)_{\ell \geq 0}$ with $\mathcal{D}(G^+) = C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, and G^- given in (2.13) is the (strong) generator of $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^-)_{\ell \geq 0}$ with $\mathcal{D}(G^-) = C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$.

The proofs of these two theorems is deferred to Section 4.

By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we are able to compute $J^\pm g^\pm$ and $\mathcal{P}_\ell^\pm g^\pm$, for any $g^\pm \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, by solving equation (3.1) subject to the conditions (a $^\pm$) and (b $^\pm$). In view of Remark 2.4, these functions lead to the expectation of the form (2.7) for any $g^\pm \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$. In particular, for any $c > 0$ and $j \in \mathbf{E}_\pm$, by taking $g_j^\pm \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$ with

$$g_j^\pm(s, i) := e^{-cs} \mathbb{1}_{\{j\}}(i), \quad (s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_\pm, \quad (3.2)$$

we obtain the following Laplace transform for $(\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}, X_{\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}}^*)$

$$\mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(e^{-c\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}}^* = j\}} \right),$$

for any $c \in (0, \infty)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}$. We then perform the inverse Laplace transform with respect to c to obtain the joint distribution of $(\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}, X_{\tau_\ell^{\pm,*}}^*)$ under $\mathbb{P}_{s,i}^*$, which enables us to compute the expectations (2.7) for any $g^\pm \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$.

Note that the equation (3.1) can be decomposed into the following two uncoupled equations

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \tilde{\Lambda} \right) \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ S^+ \end{pmatrix} g^+ = \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ S^+ \end{pmatrix} H^+ g^+, \quad g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \tilde{\Lambda} \right) \begin{pmatrix} I^- \\ S^- \end{pmatrix} g^- = - \begin{pmatrix} I^- \\ S^- \end{pmatrix} H^- g^-, \quad g^- \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-}). \quad (3.4)$$

Hence, one can compute $J^+ g^+$ and $G^+ g^+$ (and thus $\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+$) separately from $J^- g^-$ and $G^- g^-$ (and thus $\mathcal{P}_\ell^- g^-$) by solving (3.3) and (3.4) subject to (a^+) and (b^+) , and (a^-) and (b^-) , respectively.

Remark 3.3. By (2.1), (2.3), and Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we see that (J^+, G^+) is the unique solution, subject to (a^+) and (b^+) , to the following two operator equations,

$$(\mathbf{V}^+)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \tilde{\mathbf{A}} + \tilde{\mathbf{B}} S^+ \right) g^+ = H^+ g^+, \quad (3.5)$$

$$(\mathbf{V}^-)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} S^+ + \tilde{\mathbf{C}} + \tilde{\mathbf{D}} S^+ \right) g^+ = S^+ H^+ g^+, \quad (3.6)$$

where $g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. By plugging (3.5) into (3.6), we obtain the operator Riccati equation of the form

$$\left(S^+ (\mathbf{V}^+)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}} S^+ + S^+ (\mathbf{V}^+)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \right) - (\mathbf{V}^-)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \tilde{\mathbf{D}} \right) S^+ - (\mathbf{V}^-)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{C}} \right) g^+ = 0.$$

Hence, in order to compute (J^+, G^+) from (3.3), one needs first to compute J^+ by solving the above operator equation subject to (a^+) , and then G^+ is given in terms of J^+ by (3.5). Similarly, one can compute (J^-, G^-) from (3.4) in an analogous way.

Remark 3.4. The operator

$$\Psi := \begin{pmatrix} I^+ & J^- \\ J^+ & I^- \end{pmatrix} : C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$$

is the counterpart of the matrix \mathbf{S} given in Theorem 1.1. It can be shown that the operator Ψ is injective. However, unlike the matrix \mathbf{S} which is invertible, the operator Ψ is not invertible in general. In fact, the surjectivity of Ψ may fail, even when restricted to $C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ (recall the condition (a^+) (ii)). Nevertheless, the potential lack of invertibility of Ψ does not affect the existence and uniqueness of our Wiener-Hopf factorization. It only affects the form of equality (3.1), with S^\pm replaced with J^\pm .

Remark 3.5. When the Markov family \mathcal{M}^* is time-homogeneous, namely, $\Lambda_s = \Lambda$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where Λ is an $m \times m$ generator matrix, the equation (3.1) reduces to the time-homogeneous Wiener-Hopf factorization (1.1), which, in light of the invertibility of \mathbf{S} , can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1}(\Lambda - cI) \begin{pmatrix} I^+ & \Pi_c^- \\ \Pi_c^+ & I^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I^+ & \Pi_c^- \\ \Pi_c^+ & I^- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_c^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{Q}_c^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.7)$$

In what follows, we will only check the “+” part of the above equality.

Towards this end, for any $c \in (0, \infty)$ and $j \in \mathbf{E}_+$, take $g_j^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ as in (3.2). Since (J^+, G^+) is the unique solution to (3.3) subject to (a⁺) and (b⁺), we have

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \Lambda \right) \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ J^+ \end{pmatrix} g_j^+ = \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ J^+ \end{pmatrix} G^+ g_j^+. \quad (3.8)$$

Since \mathcal{M}^* is a time-homogeneous Markov family, for any $s, \ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbf{E}$, the distribution of $(\tau_\ell^{+,*}(s) - s, X_{\tau_\ell^{+,*}(s)}^*)$ under $\mathbb{P}_{s,i}^*$ is the same as that of $(\tau_\ell^{+,*}(0), X_{\tau_\ell^{+,*}(0)}^*)$ under $\mathbb{P}_{0,i}^*$. Hence, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbf{E}_+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (G^+ g_j^+)(s, i) &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} \left((\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g_j^+)(s, i) - g_j^+(s, i) \right) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} \left(\mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(e^{-c\tau_\ell^{+,*}(s)} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{\tau_\ell^{+,*}(s)}^* = j\}} \right) - \mathbb{1}_{\{j\}}(i) \right) \\ &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{e^{-cs}}{\ell} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0,i}^* \left(e^{-c\tau_\ell^{+,*}(0)} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{\tau_\ell^{+,*}(0)}^* = j\}} \right) - \mathbb{1}_{\{j\}}(i) \right) = e^{-cs} \mathbf{Q}_c^+(i, j), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where we recall that the matrix \mathbf{Q}_c^+ is defined in (1.3). Similarly, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbf{E}_-$,

$$\begin{aligned} (J^+ g_j^+)(s, i) &= \mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(g_j^+ \left(\tau_0^{+,*}(s), X_{\tau_0^{+,*}(s)}^* \right) \right) = \mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(e^{-c\tau_0^{+,*}(s)} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{\tau_0^{+,*}(s)}^* = j\}} \right) \\ &= e^{-cs} \mathbb{E}_{0,i}^* \left(e^{-c\tau_0^{+,*}(0)} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{\tau_0^{+,*}(0)}^* = j\}} \right) = e^{-cs} \Pi_c^+(i, j), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where the matrix Π_c^+ is defined by (1.2), and

$$\begin{aligned} (J^+ G^+ g_j^+)(s, i) &= \mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left((G^+ g_j^+) \left(\tau_0^{+,*}(s), X_{\tau_0^{+,*}(s)}^* \right) \right) = \mathbb{E}_{s,i}^* \left(e^{-c\tau_0^{+,*}(s)} \mathbf{Q}_c^+ \left(X_{\tau_0^{+,*}(s)}^*, j \right) \right) \\ &= e^{-cs} \mathbb{E}_{0,i}^* \left(e^{-c\tau_0^{+,*}(0)} \mathbf{Q}_c^+ \left(X_{\tau_0^{+,*}(0)}^*, j \right) \right) = e^{-cs} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{E}_+} \mathbb{E}_{0,i}^* \left(e^{-c\tau_0^{+,*}(0)} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{\tau_0^{+,*}(0)}^* = k\}} \right) \mathbf{Q}_c^+(k, j) \\ &= e^{-cs} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{E}_+} \Pi_c^+(i, k) \mathbf{Q}_c^+(k, j) = e^{-cs} (\Pi_c^+ \mathbf{Q}_c^+)(i, j). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

By plugging (3.9)–(3.11) into (3.8), we obtain

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \Lambda \right) \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ \Pi_c^+ \end{pmatrix} e^{-cs} \mathbf{e}_j^+ = \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ \Pi_c^+ \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_c^+ \mathbf{e}_j^+,$$

where \mathbf{e}_j^+ is the j -th m_+ -dimensional unit column vector. Finally, by evaluating the derivative and taking $s = 0$ on the left-hand side above, we deduce that

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1} (\Lambda - cI) \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ \Pi_c^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ \Pi_c^+ \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_c^+,$$

which is the “+” part of (3.7).

Remark 3.6. From the discussion in Remark 3.5, for each $c > 0$, solving the time-homogeneous Wiener-Hopf equation (1.1) for the matrices $(\Pi_c^\pm, \mathbf{Q}_c^\pm)$ is equivalent to solving the time-inhomogeneous Wiener-Hopf equation (3.1), subject to the conditions (a[±]) and (b[±]), for the operators (J^\pm, G^\pm)

with $g^\pm \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$ of the form (3.2). Therefore, for each $c \in (0, \infty)$, the uniqueness of $(\Pi_c^\pm, \mathbf{Q}_c^\pm)$ as a solution to (1.1) corresponds to the uniqueness of (J^\pm, G^\pm) as a solution to (3.1), subject to (a^\pm) and (b^\pm) , when g^\pm is restricted to the subclasses of $C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$ of the form (3.2).

When $c = 0$, the functions g^\pm of the form (3.2) do not belong to $C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_\pm})$ anymore. Hence, our uniqueness result does not contradict the non-uniqueness of $(\Pi_0^\pm, \mathbf{Q}_0^\pm)$ that was shown in [BRW80].

4 Proofs of the main results

In this section we prove Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. We will only give the proofs of the “+” case in both theorems, as the “−” case can be proved in an analogous way with v replaced by $-v$.

4.1 Auxiliary Markov families

In this subsection, we introduce an auxiliary time-inhomogenous Markov family \mathcal{M} and an auxiliary time-homogenous Markov family $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. We start by introducing some more notations of spaces and σ -fields. Let $\mathcal{Y} := \mathbf{E} \times \mathbb{R}$, and the Borel σ -field on \mathcal{Y} is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}) := 2^{\mathbf{E}} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Accordingly, let $\overline{\mathcal{Y}} := \mathcal{Y} \cup \{(\partial, \infty)\}$ be the one-point completion of \mathcal{Y} , and $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}) := \sigma(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}) \cup \{(\partial, \infty)\})$. Moreover, we set $\mathcal{Z} := \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Z}} := \mathcal{Z} \cup \{(\infty, \partial, \infty)\}$.

Let Ω be the set of càdlàg functions ω on \mathbb{R}_+ taking values in \mathcal{Y} . We define $\omega(\infty) := (\partial, \infty)$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$. One can construct a *standard* canonical time-inhomogeneous Markov family (cf. [GS04, Definition I.6.6])

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{ (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}_s, (X_t, \varphi_t)_{t \in [s, \infty]}, \mathbb{P}_{s, (i, a)}), (s, i, a) \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}} \right\}$$

with transition function P given by

$$P(s, (i, a), t, A) := \mathbb{P}_{s, i}^* \left(\left(X_t^*, a + \int_s^t v(X_u^*) du \right) \in A \right), \quad (4.1)$$

where $(s, i, a) \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$, $t \in [s, \infty]$, and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{Y}})$. Indeed, a routine check verifies that P is a transition function associated with a Feller semigroup, this allows us to apply [GS04, Theorem I.6.3] in order to prove existence of such \mathcal{M} . Furthermore, by investigating the finite dimensional distribution of (X, φ) under $\mathbb{P}_{s, (i, a)}$ and invoking their right continuous sample paths, it can be shown that \mathcal{M} has the following properties:³

- (i) for any $(s, i, a) \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$,

$$\text{the law of } X \text{ under } \mathbb{P}_{s, (i, a)} = \text{the law of } X^* \text{ under } \mathbb{P}_{s, i}^*; \quad (4.2)$$

- (ii) for any $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{s, (i, a)} \left(\varphi_t = a + \int_s^t v(X_u) du, \text{ for all } t \in [s, \infty) \right) = 1. \quad (4.3)$$

³The details of construction of the family \mathcal{M} and its properties take much space, and therefore are not given here. They can be obtained from the authors upon request.

Considering the standard Markov family \mathcal{M} , for any $s, \ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we define

$$\tau_\ell^+(s) := \inf \{t \in [s, \infty] : \varphi_t > \ell\},$$

which is an \mathbb{F}_s -stopping time in light of the continuity of φ and the right-continuity of the filtration \mathbb{F}_s . By similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, for any $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\ell \in [a, \infty)$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{s,(i,a)}\left(X_{\tau_\ell^+(s)} \in \mathbf{E}_+ \cup \{\partial\}\right) = 1. \quad (4.4)$$

Moreover, it follows from (4.3) that, for any $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\tau_\ell^+(s) = \inf \left\{ t \geq s : a + \int_s^t v(X_u) du > \ell \right\}, \quad \mathbb{P}_{s,(i,a)} - \text{a. s. .}$$

If no confusion arise, we will omit the s in $\tau_\ell^+(s)$.

The next proposition is an immediate consequence of (4.2) and (4.3), so its proof is skipped.

Proposition 4.1. *For any $g^+ \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{Z}$, and $\ell \in [a, \infty)$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{s,(i,a)}\left(g^+\left(\tau_\ell^+, X_{\tau_\ell^+}\right)\right) = \mathbb{E}_{s,i}^*\left(g^+\left(\tau_{\ell-a}^{+,*}, X_{\tau_{\ell-a}^{+,*}}^*\right)\right).$$

Proposition 4.1 provides a useful representation of the expectation $\mathbb{E}_{s,i}^*\left(g^+\left(\tau_{\ell-a}^{+,*}, X_{\tau_{\ell-a}^{+,*}}^*\right)\right)$. We will need still another representation of this expectation. Towards this end, we will first transform the time-inhomogeneous Markov family \mathcal{M} into a *time-homogeneous* Markov family

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ (\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}, (Z_t)_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_+}, (\theta_r)_{r \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_+}, \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_z), z \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}} \right\}$$

following the setup in [Bot14]. The construction of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ proceeds as follows.

- We let $\widetilde{\Omega} := \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \Omega$ be the new sample space, with elements $\tilde{\omega} = (s, \omega)$, where $s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_+$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. On $\widetilde{\Omega}$ we consider the σ -field

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} := \left\{ \tilde{A} \subset \widetilde{\Omega} : \tilde{A}_s \in \mathcal{F}_\infty^s \text{ for any } s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_+ \right\},$$

where $\tilde{A}_s := \{\omega \in \Omega : (s, \omega) \in \tilde{A}\}$ and \mathcal{F}_∞^s is the last element in \mathbb{F}_s (the filtration in \mathcal{M}).

- We let $\overline{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathcal{Z} \cup \{(\infty, \partial, \infty)\}$ to be the new state space, where $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$, with elements $z = (s, i, a)$. On $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ we consider the σ -field

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}) := \left\{ \tilde{B} \subset \overline{\mathcal{Z}} : \tilde{B}_s \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}) \text{ for any } s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\},$$

where $\tilde{B}_s := \{(i, a) \in \mathcal{Y} : (s, i, a) \in \tilde{B}\}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}) := \sigma(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}) \cup \{(\infty, \partial, \infty)\})$.

- We consider a family of probability measures $(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_z)_{z \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}}$, where, for $z = (s, i, a) \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$,

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_z(\tilde{A}) = \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}(\tilde{A}) := \mathbb{P}_{s,(i,a)}(\tilde{A}_s), \quad \tilde{A} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}. \quad (4.5)$$

- We consider the process $Z := (Z_t)_{t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+}$ on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$, where, for $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$,

$$Z_t(\tilde{\omega}) := (s + t, X_{s+t}(\omega), \varphi_{s+t}(\omega)), \quad \tilde{\omega} = (s, \omega) \in \tilde{\Omega}. \quad (4.6)$$

Hereafter, we denote the three components of Z by Z^1 , Z^2 , and Z^3 , respectively.

- On $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$, we define $\tilde{\mathbb{F}} := (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t := \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{t+}$ (with the convention $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\infty+} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\infty$), and $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_t)_{t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+}$ is the completion of the natural filtration generated by $(Z_t)_{t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+}$ with respect to the set of probability measures $\{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_z, z \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}\}$ (cf. [GS04, Chapter I]).
- Finally, for any $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we consider the shift operator $\theta_r : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}$ defined by

$$\theta_r \tilde{\omega} = (u + r, \omega_{\cdot+r}), \quad \tilde{\omega} = (u, \omega) \in \tilde{\Omega}.$$

It follows that $Z_t \circ \theta_r = Z_{t+r}$, for any $t, r \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

For $z = (s, i, a) \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$, $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$, and $\tilde{B} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}})$, we define the transition function \tilde{P} by

$$\tilde{P}(z, t, \tilde{B}) := \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_z(Z_t \in \tilde{B}).$$

In view of (4.5), we have

$$\tilde{P}(z, t, \tilde{B}) = \mathbb{P}_{s,(i,a)}\left((X_{t+s}, \varphi_{t+s}) \in \tilde{B}_{s+t}\right) = P(s, (i, a), s + t, \tilde{B}_{s+t}). \quad (4.7)$$

Since the transition function P , defined in (4.1), is associated with a Feller semigroup, so that P is a Feller transition function. This and [Bot14, Theorem 3.2] imply that \tilde{P} is also a Feller transition function. In light of the right continuity of the sample paths, and invoking [GS04, Theorem I.4.7], we conclude that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a *time-homogeneous strong* Markov family.

For any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+ := \inf \{t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ : Z_t^3 > \ell\}.$$

Note that $\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+$ is an $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ -stopping time since Z^3 has continuous sample paths and $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ is right-continuous. In light of (4.3), (4.5), and (4.6), for any $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{Z}$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}\left(Z_t^3 = a + \int_0^t v(Z_u^2) du, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+\right) = 1. \quad (4.8)$$

Consequently, for any $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,\ell}(\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+ = 0) = 1. \quad (4.9)$$

Moreover, by (4.4) and (4.8), for any $(s, i, a) \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\ell \in [a, \infty)$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}\left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \in \mathbf{E}_+ \cup \{\partial\}\right) = 1. \quad (4.10)$$

By Proposition 4.1, (4.5) and (4.6), for any $g^+ \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_+)$, $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{Z}$, and $\ell \in [a, \infty)$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{s,i}^*\left(g^+\left(\tau_{\ell-a}^{+,*}, X_{\tau_{\ell-a}^{+,*}}^*\right)\right) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a}\left(g^+\left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2\right)\right), \quad (4.11)$$

which, in particular, implies that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-a}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-a}^+}^2 \right) \right). \quad (4.12)$$

Consequently, the operators J^+ and \mathcal{P}_ℓ^+ , $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, defined by (2.8) and (2.10), can be written as

$$(J^+ g^+)(s, i) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right), \quad g^+ \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}), \quad (s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_-, \quad (4.13)$$

$$(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right), \quad g^+ \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}), \quad (s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+. \quad (4.14)$$

We conclude this section with the following key lemma, which will be crucial in the proofs of the main results.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\tilde{\tau}$ be any $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ -stopping time, and $g^+ \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. Then, for any $(s, i, a) \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\ell \in [a, \infty)$, we have*

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \middle| \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{\tau}} \right) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^2, Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^3} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right), \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a} - a. s., \quad (4.15)$$

where we clarify that $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^2, Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^3} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right)$ reads $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{z^1, z^2, z^3} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right) \big|_{(z^1, z^2, z^3) = (Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^2, Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^3)}$.

Proof. Note that if $(s, i, a) = (\infty, \partial, \infty)$, then both sides of (4.15) are zero. Hence, without loss of generality, assume that $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\{\tilde{\tau} \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\} \neq \emptyset$. Note that for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\omega} \in \{\tilde{\tau} \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+ (\theta_{\tilde{\tau}}(\tilde{\omega})) &= \inf \{ t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : Z_t^3 (\theta_{\tilde{\tau}}(\tilde{\omega})) > \ell \} = \inf \{ t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : Z_{t+\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{\omega})}^3 (\tilde{\omega}) > \ell \} \\ &= \inf \{ t \geq \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{\omega}) : Z_t^3 (\tilde{\omega}) > \ell \} - \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{\omega}) = \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+ (\tilde{\omega}) - \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{\omega}), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+} \circ \theta_{\tilde{\tau}} \right) (\tilde{\omega}) = Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+ (\theta_{\tilde{\tau}}(\tilde{\omega}))} (\theta_{\tilde{\tau}}(\tilde{\omega})) = Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+ (\tilde{\omega}) - \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{\omega})} (\theta_{\tilde{\tau}}(\tilde{\omega}) \tilde{\omega}) = Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+} (\tilde{\omega}).$$

Therefore, for any $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\ell \in [a, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \middle| \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{\tau}} \right) &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1 \circ \theta_{\tilde{\tau}}, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \circ \theta_{\tilde{\tau}} \right) \middle| \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{\tau}} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^2, Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^3} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\{\tilde{\tau} \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{\tau}}$ (cf. [KS98, Lemma 1.2.16]) in the first and third equality, and the strong Markov property of Z (cf. [RW94, Theorem III.9.4]) in the last equality. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.2,*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau} \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^2, Z_{\tilde{\tau}}^3} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right) \right).$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of (4.15) and the fact that $\{\tilde{\tau} \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{\tau}}$. \square

Corollary 4.4. *For any $g^+ \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, $(s, i, a) \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$, $\ell \in [a, \infty)$, and $h \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^2 \right) \right) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} \left((\mathcal{P}_h^+ g^+) \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right).$$

Proof. Since $g^+ \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+ \geq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+$, $g^+(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^2) = g^+(\infty, \partial) = 0$ on $\{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+ = \infty\}$, so that $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^3}(g^+(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^2)) = 0$ on $\{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+ = \infty\}$. Moreover, $Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^3 = \ell$ on $\{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+ < \infty\}$. Thus, using Corollary 4.3, (4.12), (4.14), and (4.4), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a}\left(g^+\left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^2\right)\right) &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+ < \infty\}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2, \ell}\left(g^+\left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^2\right)\right)\right) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+ < \infty\}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2, 0}\left(g^+\left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_h^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_h^+}^2\right)\right)\right) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a}\left((\mathcal{P}_h^+ g^+)\left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to the fact that $(\mathcal{P}_h^+ g^+)(\infty, \partial) = 0$. \square

Remark 4.5. We now verify (2.14) using the strong Markov family $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Indeed, by (4.11) and Corollary 4.4, for any $g^+ \in L^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_-$, and $\ell \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{s,i}^*\left(g^+\left(\tau_\ell^{+,*}, X_{\tau_\ell^{+,*}}^*\right)\right) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0}\left(g^+\left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2\right)\right) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0}\left((\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+)\left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2\right)\right) = (J^+ \mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i).$$

4.2 A regularity lemma

Fix $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, and define $f_+ : \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_+(s, i, \ell) := \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0}\left(g^+\left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2\right)\right). \quad (4.16)$$

In particular, in view of (4.9), we have

$$f_+(s, i, 0) = g^+(s, i), \quad (s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}. \quad (4.17)$$

Moreover, by (4.13), (4.14), and (4.16),

$$J^+ g^+(s, i) = f_+(s, i, 0), \quad (s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_-, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+(s, i) = f_+(s, i, \ell), \quad (s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (4.19)$$

The following lemma addresses the continuity of f_+ with respect to different variables. In particular, due to (4.18) and (4.19), for any $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, the continuity of $J^+ g^+(\cdot, i)$, and $\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+(\cdot, i)$, with respect to each individual variable, is established as special cases of f_+ .

Recall that, by Assumption 2.1, K is a constant such that $\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+, i, j \in \mathbf{E}} |\Lambda_s(i, j)| \leq K$. Additionally, recall that $\underline{v} = \min_{i \in \mathbf{E}} |v(i)|$ and $\bar{v} = \max_{i \in \mathbf{E}} |v(i)|$.

Lemma 4.6. *For any $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, $f_+(\cdot, i, \cdot)$ is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}_+^2 , uniformly for all $i \in \mathbf{E}$. That is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, K, \|g^+\|_\infty, \underline{v}, \bar{v}) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbf{E}} \sup_{\substack{(s_1, \ell_1), (s_2, \ell_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2: \\ |s_2 - s_1| + |\ell_2 - \ell_1| < \delta}} |f_+(s_2, i, \ell_2) - f_+(s_1, i, \ell_1)| < \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, for any $i \in \mathbf{E}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $f(\cdot, i, \ell) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$. In particular, $J^+ g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$ and $\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$.

The proof of this lemma is deferred to Appendix A.

4.3 Existence of the Wiener-Hopf factorization

This section is devoted to the proof of the “+” portion of Theorem 3.1. We do this by demonstrating the existence of solution to (3.3) subject to conditions (a^+) and (b^+) . Recall that J^+ and $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ are defined as in (2.8) and (2.10), and have the respective representations (4.13) and (4.14) in terms of the time-homogeneous Markov family $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$; G^+ is defined as in (2.12) with respect to $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$. We will show that (J^+, G^+) is a solution to (3.3) (which is equivalent to (3.5)–(3.6)) subject to (a^+) and (b^+) . The proof is divided into four steps.

Step 1. In this step show that J^+ satisfies the condition (a^+) (i).

Let $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. By Lemma 4.6, we have $J^+g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$. Moreover, if $\text{supp } g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$ for some $\eta_{g^+} \in (0, \infty)$, we have $(J^+g^+)(s, i) = \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0}(g^+(s + \widetilde{\tau}_0^+, Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_0^+}^2)) = 0$, for any $(s, i) \in [\eta_{g^+}, \infty) \times \mathbf{E}_-$, which completes the proof in Step 1.

Step 2. Here we will show that $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a strongly continuous positive contraction semigroup on $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, and thus a Feller semigroup.

Let $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$. By Lemma 4.6, we have $\mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. The positivity and contraction property of \mathcal{P}_ℓ^+ follow immediately from its definition. Hence, it remains to show that $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup.

To this end, we fix any $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+$. By (4.17) and (4.19), we first have $(\mathcal{P}_0^+g^+)(s, i) = f_+(s, i, 0) = g^+(s, i)$. Moreover, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $h > 0$, by (4.14) and Corollary 4.4, we have

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\ell+h}^+g^+)(s, i) = \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0}\left(g^+\left(Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^1, Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_{\ell+h}^+}^2\right)\right) = \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0}\left((\mathcal{P}_h^+g^+)\left(Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2\right)\right) = (\mathcal{P}_\ell^+\mathcal{P}_h^+g^+)(s, i), \quad (4.20)$$

Hence, $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a semigroup on $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$.

Finally, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, by (4.19) and Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{(s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}} |(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+)(s, i) - g^+(s, i)| = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+} |f_+(s, i, \ell) - f_+(s, i, 0)| = 0,$$

which shows the strong continuity of $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, and thus completes the proof in Step 2.

Step 3. We will show here that G^+ is the strong generator of $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with domain $C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, and that

$$G^+g^+ = (V^+)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \widetilde{\mathbf{A}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}J^+ \right) g^+, \quad g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}), \quad (4.21)$$

which corresponds to (3.5).

Using Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.6 one can show (see Appendix B.1 for the detailed argument) that for any $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, the pointwise limit in (2.12) exists for every $(s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}$ if and only if $g(\cdot, i)$ is right-differentiable on \mathbb{R}_+ for each $i \in \mathbf{E}$. Moreover, for such g^+ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} (\mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+(s, i) - g^+(s, i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{v(i)} \left(\frac{\partial_+ g^+}{\partial s}(s, i) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_+} \Lambda_s(i, j) g^+(s, j) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_-} \Lambda_s(i, j) (J^+g^+)(s, j) \right), \quad (s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Note that when $(s, i) = (\infty, \partial)$, then (4.22) is trivial since both sides of the equality are equal to zero.

We now show that $\mathcal{D}(G^+) = C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. Toward this end we define

$$\mathcal{L}(G^+) := \{g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}) : \text{the limit in (2.12) exists for all } (s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+ \text{ and } G^+g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})\}.$$

Since $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a Feller semigroup on $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ (cf. Step 2), it follows from [BSW13, Theorem 1.33] that G^+ is the strong generator of $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with $\mathcal{D}(G^+) = \mathcal{L}(G^+)$. Hence, we only need to show that $\mathcal{L}(G^+) = C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$.

We first show that $\mathcal{L}(G^+) \subset C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. For any $g^+ \in \mathcal{L}(G^+)$, it was shown in Step 3 (i) that

$$(G^+g^+)(s, i) = \frac{1}{v(i)} \left(\frac{\partial_+ g^+}{\partial s}(s, i) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_+} \Lambda_s(i, j) g^+(s, j) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_-} \Lambda_s(i, j) (J^+g^+)(s, j) \right), \quad (s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}, \quad (4.23)$$

where the right-hand side, as a function of (s, i) , belongs to $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. By Lemma 4.6, we have $J^+g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$. This, together with Assumption 2.1 (ii), ensures that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_+} \Lambda_s(i, j) g^+(s, j) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_-} \Lambda_s(i, j) (J^+g^+)(s, j), \quad (4.24)$$

as a function of $(s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}$, belongs to $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. Thus, we must have $\partial_+ g^+ / \partial s$ exists at any $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+$, $\partial_+ g^+(\infty, \partial) / \partial s = 0$, and $\partial_+ g^+ / \partial s \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. Therefore, $\partial g^+ / \partial s$ exists and belongs to $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, i.e., $g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$.

To show $C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}) \subset \mathcal{L}(G^+)$, we first note that for $g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, Step 3 (i) shows that the limit in (2.12) exists for every $(s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}$, and that (4.23) holds true. Since $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, the same argument as above implies that (4.24), as a function of (s, i) , belongs to $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. Hence, $G^+g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. The proof in Step 3 is now complete.

Step 4. In this step we will show that J^+ satisfies the condition (a^+) (ii), that is for any $g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ we have $J^+g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$. We will also show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(J^+g^+) = (-\tilde{\mathbf{C}} - \tilde{\mathbf{D}}J^+ + \mathbf{V}^- J^+G^+)g^+, \quad g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}), \quad (4.25)$$

which corresponds to (3.6).

We fix $g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ for the rest of the proof, and we claim that in order to prove $J^+g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$ and (4.25), it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{\partial_+}{\partial s} J^+g^+ = (-\tilde{\mathbf{C}} - \tilde{\mathbf{D}}J^+ + \mathbf{V}^- J^+G^+)g^+. \quad (4.26)$$

In fact, since $g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, Step 3 shows that $G^+g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. Hence, by Lemma 4.6, we have $J^+g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$ and $J^+G^+g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$. Given the definition of $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$ and invoking Assumption 2.1, we conclude that $(-\tilde{\mathbf{C}} - \tilde{\mathbf{D}}J^+ + \mathbf{V}^- J^+G^+)g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$. Thus, indeed, (4.26) implies that $J^+g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$ and that (4.25) holds.

The proof of (4.26) relies on Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.6 and the result of Step 3. It is deferred to Appendix B.2.

4.4 Uniqueness of the Wiener-Hopf factorization

In this section we prove the “+” part of Theorem 3.2. Specifically, we will show that, if (S^+, H^+) solves (3.3) subject to (a^+) and (b^+) , then, for any $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, $S^+g^+ = J^+g^+$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+g^+ = \mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+$, $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$. This also guarantees the uniqueness of G^+ , since two strongly continuous contraction semigroup coincide if and only if their generators coincide (cf. [Dyn65, Theorem 1.2]). Throughout this subsection, we assume that (S^+, H^+) satisfies (3.3) (or equivalently, (3.5) and (3.6)) and the conditions (a^+) and (b^+) .

To begin with, we will show a sufficient condition of what we would like to prove. For any $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{X}$, and $\ell \in [a, \infty)$, we define

$$\widehat{F}_+(s, i, a, \ell; g^+) := \left(\begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ S^+ \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \right) (s, i), \quad (4.27)$$

$$F_+(s, i, a, \ell; g^+) := \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tau_{\ell-a}^+}^1, Z_{\tau_{\ell-a}^+}^2 \right) \right). \quad (4.28)$$

When no confusion arises, we will omit g^+ in $\widehat{F}_+(s, i, a, \ell; g^+)$ and $F_+(s, i, a, \ell; g^+)$.

Proposition 4.7. *Suppose that*

$$\widehat{F}_+(s, i, 0, \ell; g^+) = F_+(s, i, 0, \ell; g^+), \quad (s, i) \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad g^+ \in C_c^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}). \quad (4.29)$$

Then, for any $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$,

$$S^+g^+ = J^+g^+, \quad \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+g^+ = \mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Proof. Let $g^+ \in C_c^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. By Corollary 4.4, for any $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$F_+(s, i, 0, \ell; g^+) = \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left((\mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+) \left(Z_{\tau_0^+}^1, Z_{\tau_0^+}^2 \right) \right) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ J^+ \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+ \right) (s, i).$$

This, together with (4.29), implies that, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+g^+ = \mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+, \quad S^+\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+g^+ = J^+\mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+, \quad (4.30)$$

and thus $S^+\mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+ = J^+\mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+$. Since $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup, and S^+ and J^+ are bounded operators, we have

$$S^+g^+ = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} S^+\mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+ = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} J^+\mathcal{P}_\ell^+g^+ = J^+g^+ \quad (4.31)$$

so that $S^+g^+ = J^+g^+$. Alternatively, this equality can be obtained by letting $\ell = 0$ in (4.29).

Finally, since $C_c^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ is dense in $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, and S^+ , J^+ , and \mathcal{P}_ℓ^+ are bounded operators, both (4.30) and (4.31) hold true for any $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, which completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 4.7 states that if (4.29) is satisfied then the “+” part of Theorem 3.2 holds true. Thus, to conclude the proof of the “+” part of Theorem 3.2, and therefore the proof of uniqueness of our Wiener-Hopf factorization, it remains to prove that (4.29) holds. The rest of this section is devoted to this task.

We need the following three technical lemmas, whose proofs are deferred to Appendix C.

Lemma 4.8. For any $g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ and $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_-$, $(S^+ \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i)$ is differentiable on \mathbb{R}_+ , and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell} ((S^+ \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i)) = (S^+ H^+ \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i).$$

Let $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{Z}})$ be the space of real-valued $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}})$ -measurable functions h on \mathcal{Z} such that $h(\infty, \partial, \infty) = 0$, and that $h(\cdot, i, \cdot) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ for all $i \in \mathbf{E}$. Let $C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{Z}})$ be the space of functions $h \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{Z}})$ such that, for all $i \in \mathbf{E}$, $\partial h(\cdot, i, \cdot)/\partial s$ and $\partial h(\cdot, i, \cdot)/\partial a$ exist and belong to $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 4.9. Let \mathcal{A} be the strong generator of the Feller semigroup associated with the Markov family $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Then, $C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, and for any $h \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{Z}})$,

$$(\mathcal{A}h)(s, i, a) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial s}(s, i, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}} \Lambda_s(i, j) h(s, j, a) + v(i) \frac{\partial h}{\partial a}(s, i, a), \quad (s, i, a) \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Lemma 4.10. For any $g^+ \in C_c(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ with $\text{supp } g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$ for some $\eta_{g^+} \in (0, \infty)$, we have $\text{supp } \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, let $C_0(\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, a])$ be the space of real-valued $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes \mathcal{B}((-\infty, a])$ -measurable functions h on $\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, a]$ such that $h(\cdot, i, \cdot) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+ \times (-\infty, a])$ for all $i \in \mathbf{E}$. Let $C_0^1(\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, a])$ be the space of functions $h \in C_0(\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, a])$ such that, for all $i \in \mathbf{E}$, $\partial h(\cdot, i, \cdot)/\partial s$ and $\partial h(\cdot, i, \cdot)/\partial a$ exist and belong to $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+ \times (-\infty, a])$.

Lemma 4.11. For any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g^+ \in C_c^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, $\widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \ell; g^+) \in C_0^1(\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, \ell])$.

We are now in the position of proving (4.29). In what follows, we fix $g^+ \in C_c^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ with $\text{supp } g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$ for some $\eta_{g^+} \in (0, \infty)$.

We first show that, for any $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $T \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(\widehat{F}_+ \left(Z_{\tau_\ell^+ \wedge T}^1, Z_{\tau_\ell^+ \wedge T}^2, Z_{\tau_\ell^+ \wedge T}^3, \ell \right) \right) = \widehat{F}_+(s, i, 0, \ell). \quad (4.32)$$

Let $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\phi(a) = 1$ for $a \in (-\infty, \ell]$ and $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \phi(a) = 0$. We extend $\widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \ell)$ to be a function on \mathcal{Z} by defining

$$\widehat{F}_+(s, i, a, \ell) := \phi(a) (2\widehat{F}_+(s, i, \ell, \ell) - \widehat{F}_+(s, i, 2\ell - a, \ell)), \quad (s, i) \in \mathcal{X}, a \in (\ell, \infty).$$

By Lemma 4.11, we now have $\widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \ell) \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{Z}})$ (with the convention that $\widehat{F}_+(\infty, \partial, \infty, \ell) = 0$). It follows from Lemma 4.9, (4.27), (C.10), and Lemma 4.8 that, for any $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}$ and $a \in (-\infty, \ell)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\widehat{F}_+)(s, i, a, \ell) &= \frac{\partial \widehat{F}_+}{\partial s}(s, i, a, \ell) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}} \Lambda_s(i, j) \widehat{F}_+(s, j, a, \ell) + v(i) \frac{\partial \widehat{F}_+}{\partial a}(s, i, a, \ell) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ S^+ \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \right)(s, i) + \left(\widetilde{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ S^+ \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \right)(s, i) + \left(\mathbb{V} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ S^+ \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \right)(s, i) \\ &= \left(\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \widetilde{\Lambda} \right) \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ S^+ \end{pmatrix} - \mathbb{V} \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ S^+ \end{pmatrix} H^+ \right) \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \right)(s, i), \end{aligned}$$

where we note that $\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \in \mathcal{D}(H^+)$ since $g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}^+}) = \mathcal{D}(H^+)$. Hence, since (S^+, H^+) solves (3.3), we have

$$(\mathcal{A}\widehat{F}_+)(s, i, a, \ell) = 0, \quad (s, i) \in \mathcal{X}, \quad a \in (-\infty, \ell).$$

Therefore, by Dynkin's formula (cf. [RW94, III.10]), we obtain that

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\widehat{F}_+ \left(Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ \wedge T}^1, Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ \wedge T}^2, Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ \wedge T}^3, \ell \right) \right) - \widehat{F}_+(s, i, 0, \ell) = \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\int_0^{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ \wedge T} (\mathcal{A}\widehat{F}_+)(Z_t^1, Z_t^2, Z_t^3, \ell) dt \right) = 0,$$

which completes the proof of (4.32).

By (4.32), we have

$$\widehat{F}_+(s, i, 0, \ell) = \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\widehat{F}_+ \left(Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2, Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^3, \ell \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ < T\}} \right) + \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\widehat{F}_+(Z_T^1, Z_T^2, Z_T^3, \ell) \mathbb{1}_{\{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ \geq T\}} \right).$$

From the definition of $\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+$ and the right-continuity of the sample paths of Z , we have $Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^3 = \ell$ on $\{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ < T\}$. Moreover, it is clear from the construction of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ that $Z_t^2 \in \mathbf{E}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and, in view of (4.10), we deduce that $Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \in \mathbf{E}_+$ on $\{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ < T\}$. Together with (4.27), (4.28), and (4.6), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{F}_+(s, i, 0, \ell) &= \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ < T\}} \right) + \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\widehat{F}_+(Z_T^1, Z_T^2, Z_T^3, \ell) \mathbb{1}_{\{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ \geq T\}} \right) \\ &= F_+(s, i, 0, \ell) - \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(g^+ \left(s + \widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+, Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ \geq T\}} \right) \\ &\quad + \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\widehat{F}_+(s + T, Z_T^2, Z_T^3, \ell) \mathbb{1}_{\{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ \geq T\}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Therefore, in order to prove (4.29), it remains to show that the last two terms in (4.33) vanish. Since $g^+ \in C_c(\overline{\mathcal{X}^+})$ (and so $g^+(Z_\infty^1, Z_\infty^2) = g^+(\infty, \partial) = 0$) with $\text{supp } g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$, and using the fact that $Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \in \mathbf{E}_+$ on $\{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ < \infty\}$, we have, for $T \in [\eta_{g^+} - s, \infty)$,

$$g^+ \left(s + \widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+, Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ \geq T\}} = g^+ \left(s + \widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+, Z_{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\widetilde{\tau}_\ell^+ \in [T, \infty)\}} = 0.$$

Hence, the second term in (4.33) vanishes when $T \in [\eta_{g^+} - s, \infty)$. As for the last term in (4.33), since $\text{supp } g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$, Lemma 4.10 and the condition (a^+) (i) ensure that $\text{supp } \widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \ell) \subset [0, \eta_g^+] \times \mathbf{E} \times [0, \ell]$. Hence, when $T \in [\eta_{g^+} - s, \infty)$, $\widehat{F}_+(s + T, Z_T^2, Z_T^3, \ell) = 0$ so that the last term in (4.33) vanishes. Therefore, by choosing $T \in [\eta_{g^+} - s, \infty)$, we obtain (4.29) from (4.33).

The proof of the “+” part of Theorem 3.2 is complete. As mentioned earlier, the proof of the “−” part of Theorem 3.2 proceeds in direct analogy to “+” part given above.

A Proof of Lemma 4.6

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $i \in \mathbf{E}$, and $(s_1, \ell_1), (s_2, \ell_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, without loss of generality, assume that $s_2 \geq s_1$ and $\ell_2 \geq \ell_1$. Then,

$$|f_+(s_2, i, \ell_2) - f_+(s_1, i, \ell_1)| \leq |f_+(s_2, i, \ell_2) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1)| + |f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1) - f_+(s_1, i, \ell_1)| \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The proof will be divided into three steps.

Step 1. We begin by investigating the first term in (A.1). Noting that $(\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+)(\infty, \partial) = g^+(\infty, \partial) = 0$, by (4.16), Corollary 4.4, and (4.14),

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_+(s_2, i, \ell_2) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1)| &= \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_2, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2}^+}^2 \right) \right) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_2, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_2, i, 0} \left((\mathcal{P}_{\ell_1}^+ g^+) \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \right) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_2, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_2, i, 0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ < \infty\}} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \right) - g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \right) \right) \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{(t, j) \in \mathcal{X}_+} \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{t, j, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \right) - g^+(t, j) \right|. \tag{A.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ is the first jump time of Z^2 . For any $(t, j) \in \mathcal{X}_+$, on the event $\{Z_u^3 = \int_0^u v(Z_r^2) dr, \forall u \geq 0\}$ (which has probability 1 under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{t, j, 0}$ in view of (4.8)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\omega}) > \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{v(j)}, Z_0(\tilde{\omega}) = (t, j, 0) &\iff Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^3(\tilde{\omega}) > \ell_2 - \ell_1, Z_0(\tilde{\omega}) = (t, j, 0) \\
&\implies \tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+(\tilde{\omega}) = \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{v(j)}, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^2(\tilde{\omega}) = j.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (4.6) and (D.2), for any $(t, j) \in \mathcal{X}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{t, j, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \right) - g^+(t, j) \right| &\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{t, j, 0} \left(\left| g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq (\ell_2 - \ell_1)/v(j)\}} \right) \\
&\quad + \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{t, j, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_2 - \ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 > (\ell_2 - \ell_1)/v(j)\}} \right) - g^+(t, j) \right| \\
&\leq \|g^+\|_\infty \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{t, j, 0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{v(j)} \right) + \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{t, j, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{(\ell_2 - \ell_1)/v(j)}^1, j \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 > (\ell_2 - \ell_1)/v(j)\}} \right) - g^+(t, j) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{K \|g^+\|_\infty}{\underline{v}} (\ell_2 - \ell_1) + \left| g^+ \left(t + \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{v(j)}, j \right) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{t, j, 0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 > \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{v(j)} \right) - g^+(t, j) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{K \|g^+\|_\infty}{\underline{v}} (\ell_2 - \ell_1) + \left| g^+ \left(t + \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{v(j)}, j \right) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{t, j, 0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{v(j)} \right) \right| + \left| g^+ \left(t + \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{v(j)}, j \right) - g^+(t, j) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{2K \|g^+\|_\infty}{\underline{v}} (\ell_2 - \ell_1) + w_{g^+} \left(\frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\underline{v}} \right), \tag{A.3}
\end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $\underline{v} = \min_{i \in \mathbf{E}} |v(i)|$, and

$$w_{g^+}(\delta) := \sup_{j \in \mathbf{E}_+} \sup_{r, u \in \mathbb{R}_+ : |r - u| \in [0, \delta]} |g^+(r, j) - g^+(u, j)|$$

is the modulus of continuity of g^+ . Combining (A.2) and (A.3) leads to

$$|f_+(s_2, i, \ell_2) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1)| \leq \frac{2K \|g^+\|_\infty}{\underline{v}} (\ell_2 - \ell_1) + w_{g^+} \left(\frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\underline{v}} \right), \tag{A.4}$$

which completes the proof in Step 1.

Step 2. Next, we analyze the second term in (A.1) by decomposing it as

$$\begin{aligned} |f_+(s_1, i, \ell_1) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1)| &\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ \leq s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \\ &\quad + \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1) \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ > s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \right| \\ &=: \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

To estimate \mathcal{I}_1 , we first note that when $i \in \mathbf{E}_-$ (so that $v(i) < 0$), it follows from (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_1, i, 0}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 > s_2 - s_1, \tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ \leq s_2 - s_1) &= \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 > s_2 - s_1, \int_0^u v(Z_r^2) dr > \ell_1 \text{ for some } u \in [0, s_2 - s_1] \right) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_1, i, 0}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 > s_2 - s_1, v(i)u > \ell_1 \text{ for some } u \in [0, s_2 - s_1]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, when $i \in \mathbf{E}_-$, by (4.16) and (D.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_2, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \right) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ \leq s_2 - s_1, \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \\ &\leq 2 \|g^+\|_\infty \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_1, i, 0}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq s_2 - s_1) \leq 2K \|g^+\|_\infty (s_2 - s_1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

In what follows, assume that $i \in \mathbf{E}_+$. We further decompose \mathcal{I}_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) - g^+ \left(s_2, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ \leq s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| g^+ \left(s_2, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) - g^+(s_2, i) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ \leq s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| g^+(s_2, i) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ \leq s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \\ &=: \mathcal{I}_{11} + \mathcal{I}_{12} + \mathcal{I}_{13}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

For \mathcal{I}_{11} , by (4.6), we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{11} = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| g^+ \left(s_1 + \tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) - g^+ \left(s_2, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ \leq s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \leq w_{g^+}(s_2 - s_1). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

As for \mathcal{I}_{12} , note that $Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 = Z_0^2$ on $\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 > s_2 - s_1, \tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ \leq s_2 - s_1\}$, and thus

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| g^+ \left(s_2, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) - g^+(s_2, i) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 > s_2 - s_1, \tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ \leq s_2 - s_1\}} \right) = 0.$$

Hence, by (D.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{12} &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| g^+ \left(s_2, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+}^2 \right) - g^+(s_2, i) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ \leq s_2 - s_1, \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \\ &\leq 2 \|g^+\|_\infty \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_1, i, 0}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq s_2 - s_1) \leq 2K \|g^+\|_\infty (s_2 - s_1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

It remains to analyze \mathcal{I}_{13} . Note that when $\ell_1 > \bar{v}(t - s)$, by (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_1, i, 0}(\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ \leq s_2 - s_1) &= \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(Z_u^3 > \ell_1 \text{ for some } u \in [0, s_2 - s_1] \right) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\int_0^u v(Z_r^2) dr > \ell_1 > \bar{v}(s_2 - s_1) \text{ for some } u \in [0, s_2 - s_1] \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.17) and (A.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{13} &\leq |f_+(s_2, i, 0) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1)| \mathbb{1}_{\{\ell_1 \leq \bar{v}(s_2 - s_1)\}} \leq \sup_{\substack{r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \\ |r_1 - r_2| \leq \bar{v}(s_2 - s_1)}} |f_+(s_2, i, r_1) - f_+(s_2, i, r_2)| \\ &\leq \frac{2\bar{v}}{\underline{v}} K \|g^+\|_\infty(s_2 - s_1) + w_{g^+} \left(\frac{\bar{v}}{\underline{v}}(s_2 - s_1) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where we recall $\bar{v} = \max_{i \in \mathbf{E}} |v(i)|$. Combining (A.7)–(A.10), we obtain that, for any $i \in \mathbf{E}_+$,

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{\bar{v}}{\underline{v}} \right) K \|g^+\|_\infty(s_2 - s_1) + 2w_{g^+} \left(\frac{\bar{v}}{\underline{v}}(s_2 - s_1) \right). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Comparing (A.6) with (A.11), we see that (A.11) holds for any $i \in \mathbf{E}$, completing the study of \mathcal{I}_1 .

Next, we will investigate \mathcal{I}_2 . Note that $Z_{s_2 - s_1}^3 \leq \ell_1$ on the event $\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ > s_2 - s_1\}$. Hence, by tower property of conditional expectations, Corollary 4.3, (4.12), and (4.16), we further decompose \mathcal{I}_2 as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 &= \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_2, j, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1 - a}^1}^+, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1 - a}^2}^+ \right) \right) \Big|_{(j, a) = (Z_{s_2 - s_1}^2, Z_{s_2 - s_1}^3)} - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1) \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ > s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left(f_+ \left(s_2, Z_{s_2 - s_1}^2, \ell_1 - Z_{s_2 - s_1}^3 \right) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1) \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ > s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| f_+ \left(s_2, Z_{s_2 - s_1}^2, \ell_1 - Z_{s_2 - s_1}^3 \right) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1 - Z_{s_2 - s_1}^3) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ > s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| f_+ \left(s_2, i, \ell_1 - Z_{s_2 - s_1}^3 \right) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ > s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \\ &=: \mathcal{I}_{21} + \mathcal{I}_{22}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

By (4.16) and (D.2), an argument similar to those leading to (A.9) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{21} &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| f_+ \left(s_2, Z_{s_2 - s_1}^2, \ell_1 - Z_{s_2 - s_1}^3 \right) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1 - Z_{s_2 - s_1}^3) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ > s_2 - s_1, \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq s_2 - s_1\}} \right) \\ &\leq 2 \|g^+\|_\infty \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_1, i, 0}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq s_2 - s_1) \leq 2K \|g^+\|_\infty(s_2 - s_1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

To estimate \mathcal{I}_{22} , note that by (4.8),

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(|Z_{s_2 - s_1}^3| \leq \bar{v}(s_2 - s_1) \right) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| \int_0^{s_2 - s_1} v(Z_u^2) du \right| \leq \bar{v}(s_2 - s_1) \right) = 1.$$

Together with (A.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{22} &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s_1, i, 0} \left(\left| f_+ \left(s_2, i, \ell_1 - Z_{s_2 - s_1}^3 \right) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{\ell_1}^+ > s_2 - s_1, |Z_{s_2 - s_1}^3| \leq \bar{v}(s_2 - s_1)\}} \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \\ |r_1 - r_2| \leq \bar{v}(s_2 - s_1)}} |f_+(s_2, i, r_1) - f_+(s_2, i, r_2)| \leq \frac{2\bar{v}}{\underline{v}} K \|g^+\|_\infty(s_2 - s_1) + w_{g^+} \left(\frac{\bar{v}}{\underline{v}}(s_2 - s_1) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Combining (A.12)–(A.14) leads to

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{\bar{v}}{\underline{v}} \right) K \|g^+\|_\infty(s_2 - s_1) + w_{g^+} \left(\frac{\bar{v}}{\underline{v}}(s_2 - s_1) \right). \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Therefore, by (A.5), (A.11), and (A.15), we obtain that

$$|f_+(s_1, i, \ell_1) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1)| \leq 4 \left(1 + \frac{\bar{v}}{\underline{v}}\right) K \|g^+\|_\infty (s_2 - s_1) + 3 w_{g^+} \left(\frac{\bar{v}}{\underline{v}}(s_2 - s_1)\right), \quad (\text{A.16})$$

which completes the analysis in Step 2.

Step 3. By (A.1), (A.4), and (A.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_+(s_1, i, \ell_2) - f_+(s_2, i, \ell_1)| &\leq \frac{2}{\underline{v}} K \|g^+\|_\infty (\ell_2 - \ell_1) + 4 \left(1 + \frac{\bar{v}}{\underline{v}}\right) K \|g^+\|_\infty (s_2 - s_1) \\ &\quad + w_{g^+} \left(\frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\underline{v}}\right) + 3 w_{g^+} \left(\frac{\bar{v}}{\underline{v}}(s_2 - s_1)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the uniform continuity of $f_+(\cdot, i, \cdot)$ on \mathbb{R}_+^2 follows from the uniform the uniform continuity of $g^+(\cdot, i)$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , uniformly for all $i \in \mathbf{E}$.

It remains to show that for any $i \in \mathbf{E}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $f(s, i, \ell)$ vanishes as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Since $g^+ \in C_0(\mathcal{X}_+)$, by (4.6) and (4.16), we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} |f(s, i, \ell)| = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(s + \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right) \right| \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(t, j) \in [s, \infty) \times \mathbf{E}_+} |g^+(t, j)| = 0.$$

The last statement of Lemma 4.6 follows directly from (4.18) and (4.19).

The proof of Lemma 4.6 is complete.

B Supplemental Proofs for Section 4.3

B.1 Proof of (4.22)

In what follows, fix $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ and $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+$.

Let $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ be the first jump time of \tilde{Z}^2 . For any $\ell \in (0, \infty)$, by (4.14) and (D.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\ell} (\mathcal{P}_\ell^+ g^+(s, i) - g^+(s, i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 > \ell/v(i)\}} \right) + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \ell/v(i)\}} \right) - g^+(s, i) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\ell/v(i)}^1, Z_{\ell/v(i)}^2 \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 > \ell/v(i)\}} \right) + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \ell/v(i)\}} \right) - g^+(s, i) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s, i, 0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 > \frac{\ell}{v(i)} \right) \left(g^+ \left(s + \frac{\ell}{v(i)}, i \right) - g^+(s, i) \right) - \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s, i, 0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)} \right) g^+(s, i) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \ell/v(i)\}} \right) \\ &=: \mathcal{I}_1(\ell) - \mathcal{I}_2(\ell) + \mathcal{I}_3(\ell). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Clearly,

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{I}_1(\ell) = \frac{1}{v(i)} \frac{\partial g^+}{\partial s^+}(s, i) \quad (\text{B.2})$$

if and only if $g^+(\cdot, i)$ is right-differentiable at s . As for $\mathcal{I}_2(\ell)$, (D.1) implies that

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{I}_2(\ell) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\ell} \left(1 - \exp \left(\int_s^{s+\ell/v(i)} \Lambda_u(i, i) du \right) \right) g^+(s, i) = -\frac{\Lambda_s(i, i)}{v(i)} g^+(s, i). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

It remains to analyze the limit of $\mathcal{I}_3(\ell)$, as $\ell \rightarrow 0^+$. By (D.5), the tower property, (4.15), (4.12), (4.16), and since $Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^3 \leq \ell$ on $\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_3(\ell) &= \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \ell/v(i), \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \middle| \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{\gamma}_1} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \ell/v(i), \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^3} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \ell/v(i), \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{t,j,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+}^2 \right) \right) \middle|_{(t,j,a)=(Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^3)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \ell/v(i), \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} f_+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2, \ell - Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^3 \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \ell/v(i), \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \tilde{\tau}_\ell^+\}} \left(f_+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2, \ell - Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^3 \right) - f_+ \left(s, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2, \ell \right) \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \ell/v(i)\}} f_+ \left(s, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2, \ell \right) \right) \\ &=: \mathcal{I}_{31}(\ell) + \mathcal{I}_{32}(\ell). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

For $\mathcal{I}_{31}(\ell)$, by (4.6), Lemma 4.6, and (D.2), we have

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} |\mathcal{I}_{31}(\ell)| \leq \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{j \in \mathbf{E}} \sup_{\substack{\ell' \in [0, \ell] \\ |s' - s| \in [0, \ell/v]}} |f_+(s', j, \ell') - f_+(s, j, \ell)| \cdot \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)} \right) = 0, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where we recall that $\underline{v} = \min_{i \in \mathbf{E}} |v(i)|$. To study the limit of $\mathcal{I}_{32}(\ell)$ as $\ell \rightarrow 0^+$, we first rewrite $\mathcal{I}_{32}(\ell)$ as

$$\mathcal{I}_{32}(\ell) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E} \setminus \{i\}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)}, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2 = j \right) f_+(s, j, \ell). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Note that, for any $j \in \mathbf{E} \setminus \{i\}$, the probability in (B.6) can be further decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)}, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2 = j \right) &= \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)}, Z_{\ell/v(i)}^2 = j \right) - \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)}, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2 \neq j, Z_{\ell/v(i)}^2 = j \right) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)}, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2 = j, Z_{\ell/v(i)}^2 \neq j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

By (4.7), (4.2), and (2.5), for $j \neq i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)}, Z_{\ell/v(i)}^2 = j \right) &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} (Z_{\ell/v(i)}^2 = j) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} \mathbb{P}_{s,(i,0)} (X_{s+\ell/v(i)} = j) \\ &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} \mathbb{P}_{s,i}^* (X_{s+\ell/v(i)}^* = j) = \frac{\Lambda_s(i, j)}{v(i)}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemma 4.6, gives

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E} \setminus \{i\}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)}, Z_{\ell/v(i)}^2 = j \right) f_+(s, j, \ell) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E} \setminus \{i\}} \frac{\Lambda_s(i, j)}{v(i)} f_+(s, j, 0). \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Moreover, denoting by $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ the second jump time of \tilde{Z}^2 , then by (4.16) and (D.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E} \setminus \{i\}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)}, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2 \neq j, Z_{\ell/v(i)}^2 = j \right) f_+(s, j, \ell) \right| \\ & \leq \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E} \setminus \{i\}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_2 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)}, Z_{\ell/v(i)}^2 = j \right) \|g^+\|_\infty \leq \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_2 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)} \right) \|g^+\|_\infty = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

and similarly,

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\ell} \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E} \setminus \{i\}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{v(i)}, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2 = j, Z_{\ell/v(i)}^2 \neq j \right) f_+(s, j, \ell) \right| = 0. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Combining (B.6)–(B.10) leads to

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{I}_{32}(\ell) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E} \setminus \{i\}} \frac{\Lambda_s(i, j)}{v(i)} f_+(s, j, 0) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_+ \setminus \{i\}} \frac{\Lambda_s(i, j)}{v(i)} g^+(s, j) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_-} \frac{\Lambda_s(i, j)}{v(i)} (J^+ g^+)(s, j), \quad (\text{B.11})$$

where the last equality is due to (4.17) and (4.18).

Therefore, from (B.4), (B.5), and (B.11), we have

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{I}_3(\ell) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_+ \setminus \{i\}} \frac{\Lambda_s(i, j)}{v(i)} g^+(s, j) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_-} \frac{\Lambda_s(i, j)}{v(i)} (J^+ g^+)(s, j). \quad (\text{B.12})$$

Combining (B.1)–(B.3) and (B.12), we conclude that the limit in (2.12) exists for every $(s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}$ if and only if $g(\cdot, i)$ is right-differentiable on \mathbb{R}_+ for each i , and that for such $g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, (4.22) holds true for any $(s, i) \in \overline{\mathcal{X}_+}$.

B.2 Proof of (4.26)

To prove (4.26), it is sufficient to consider $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_-$ only, since both sides of (4.26) are equal to zero for $(s, i) = (\infty, \partial)$. In view of (4.13), we will evaluate

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{r} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s+r, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) \right), \quad (s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_-.$$

For any $r > 0$, by (4.6) and (4.16),

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s+r, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) \\ & = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(f_+(s+r, i, 0) - f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) \right) + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ \leq r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - g^+(s+\tilde{\tau}_0^+, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2) \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ > r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - g^+(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Clearly, by (4.4) and (4.17), $g^+(s+\tilde{\tau}_0^+, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2) = f_+(s+\tilde{\tau}_0^+, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2, 0)$. Hence, the second term on the right-hand side of (B.13) can be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ \leq r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - g^+(s+\tilde{\tau}_0^+, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2) \right) \right) \\ & = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ \leq r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - f_+(s+r, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2, 0) \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s, i, 0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ \leq r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2, 0) - f_+(s+\tilde{\tau}_0^+, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2, 0) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Moreover, by the tower property, (4.15), (4.12), (4.16), and (4.6), we can decompose the third term on the-right hand side of (B.13) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ > r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ > r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{t,j,a} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) \Big|_{(t,j,a)=(Z_r^1, Z_r^2, Z_r^3)} \right) \right) \\
&= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ > r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{t,j,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) \Big|_{(t,j,a)=(s+r, Z_r^2, Z_r^3)} \right) \right) \\
&= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ > r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, -Z_r^3) \right) \right). \tag{B.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by combining (B.13)–(B.15), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{r} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s+r,i,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(f_+(s+r, i, 0) - f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ \leq r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - f_+(s+r, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2, 0) \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ \leq r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2, 0) - f_+(s+\tilde{\tau}_0^+, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2, 0) \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ > r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, -Z_r^3) \right) \right) \\
&=: \mathcal{J}_1(r) + \mathcal{J}_2(r) + \mathcal{J}_3(r) + \mathcal{J}_4(r). \tag{B.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we will analyze the limit of $\mathcal{J}_k(r)$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, as $r \rightarrow 0+$.

We begin with evaluating the limit of $\mathcal{J}_1(r)$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$. By (4.6), (4.7), and (4.2), and using the evolution system $\mathbf{U}^* = (\mathbf{U}_{s,t}^*)_{0 \leq s \leq t < \infty}$ defined as in (2.4), we have

$$\mathcal{J}_1(r) = -\frac{1}{r} \left((\mathbf{U}_{s,s+r}^* - \mathbf{I}) f_+(s, \cdot, 0) \right) (i) + \frac{1}{r} \left((\mathbf{U}_{s,s+r}^* - \mathbf{I}) (f_+(s, \cdot, 0) - f_+(s+r, \cdot, 0)) \right) (i). \tag{B.17}$$

It follows immediately from (2.5) that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} \left((\mathbf{U}_{s,s+r}^* - \mathbf{I}) f_+(s, \cdot, 0) \right) (i) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}} \Lambda_s(i, j) f_+(s, j, 0). \tag{B.18}$$

Moreover, by (2.6), [EK05, Proposition I.1.5 (a)], Assumption 2.1 (so that $\|\Lambda_t^*\|_\infty \leq 2K$ for all $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$), Lemma 4.6, and the fact that $\mathbf{U}_{s,t}^*$ is a contraction map, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} \left| \left((\mathbf{U}_{s,s+r}^* - \mathbf{I}) (f_+(s, \cdot, 0) - f_+(s+r, \cdot, 0)) \right) (i) \right| \\
&\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} \int_s^{s+r} \|\mathbf{U}_{s,t}^*\|_\infty \|\Lambda_t^*\|_\infty dt \cdot \sup_{(s,j) \in \mathcal{X}} |f_+(s, j, 0) - f_+(s+r, j, 0)| = 0. \tag{B.19}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (B.17)–(B.19) leads to

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \mathcal{J}_1(r) = - \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}} \Lambda_s(i, j) f_+(s, j, 0). \tag{B.20}$$

Next, we will study the limits of $\mathcal{J}_2(r)$ and $\mathcal{J}_3(r)$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$. Since $i \in \mathbf{E}_-$, Z^2 must have at least one jump to \mathbf{E}_+ before Z^3 (which coincides with $\int_0^\cdot v(Z_u^2)du$ in view of (4.8)) can upcross the level 0, i.e., $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \tilde{\tau}_0^+) = 1$, where we recall that $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ denotes the first jump time of Z^2 . Hence, by (D.2) and Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} |\mathcal{J}_3(r)| &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \tilde{\tau}_0^+ \leq r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2, 0) - f_+(s+\tilde{\tau}_0^+, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2, 0) \right) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq r) \sup_{(r',j) \in [0,r] \times \mathbf{E}} |f_+(s+r', j, 0) - f_+(s, j, 0)| = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.21})$$

Moreover, note that $\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ \leq r\}}(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - f_+(s+r, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2, 0))$ does not vanish only if $Z_r^2 \neq Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2$, so Z^2 must jump at least twice before time r . Hence, by (D.3) and (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} |\mathcal{J}_2(r)| &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ \leq r, \tilde{\gamma}_2 \leq r\}} \left(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - f_+(s+r, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2, 0) \right) \right) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \|g^+\|_\infty \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0}(\tilde{\gamma}_2 \leq r) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.22})$$

where we recall that $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ denotes the second jump time of Z^2 .

Finally, we study the limit of $\mathcal{J}_4(r)$, as $r \rightarrow 0+$, by further decomposing $\mathcal{J}_4(r)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_4(r) &= \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} (f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, -v(i)r)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ \leq r\}} (f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, -v(i)r)) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ > r\}} (f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, -v(i)r) - f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, -Z_r^3)) \right) \\ &=: \mathcal{J}_{41}(r) + \mathcal{J}_{42}(r) + \mathcal{J}_{43}(r). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.23})$$

For $\mathcal{J}_{41}(r)$, by (4.6), (4.7), (4.2), and (2.4), we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{41}(r) = \frac{1}{r} (\mathbf{U}_{s,s+r}^* - \mathbf{I}) (f_+(s+r, \cdot, 0) - f_+(s+r, \cdot, -v(i)r))(i) + \frac{1}{r} (f_+(s+r, i, 0) - f_+(s+r, i, -v(i)r)).$$

By Assumption 2.1, (2.6), and Lemma 4.6, a similar argument leading to (B.19) shows that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} |(\mathbf{U}_{s,s+r}^* - \mathbf{I}) (f_+(s+r, \cdot, 0) - f_+(s+r, \cdot, -v(i)r))(i)| = 0.$$

Hence, noting that $g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}) = \mathcal{D}(G^+)$, by (4.16) and Corollary 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \mathcal{J}_{41}(r) &= - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} (f_+(s+r, i, -v(i)r) - f_+(s+r, i, 0)) \\ &= - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left((\mathcal{P}_{-v(i)r}^+ g^+) \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) - g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) \\ &= v(i) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left((G^+ g^+) \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.24})$$

Next, since $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \tilde{\tau}_0^+) = 1$ for $i \in \mathbf{E}_-$, by Lemma 4.6 and (D.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} |\mathcal{J}_{42}(r)| &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq r\}} |f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, 0) - f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, -v(i)r)| \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq r) \sup_{(j,\ell) \in \mathbf{E} \times [0, -v(i)r]} |f_+(s+r, j, 0) - f_+(s+r, j, \ell)| = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.25})$$

As for $\mathcal{J}_{43}(r)$, since $Z_u^2 = Z_0^2$ for all $u \in [0, r]$ on $\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 > r\}$, it follows from (4.8) that $Z_r^3 = \int_0^r v(Z_u^2) du = v(i)r$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0}$ -a.s. on $\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 > r\}$, and thus

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 > r\}}(f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, -v(i)r) - f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, -Z_r^3)) = 0, \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a} - \text{a.s.}$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.6 and (D.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} |\mathcal{J}_{43}(r)| &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{r} \left| \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_0^+ > r \geq \tilde{\gamma}_1\}} (f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, -v(i)r) - f_+(s+r, Z_r^2, -Z_r^3)) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{r} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,0}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq r) \sup_{j \in \mathbf{E}, \ell_1, \ell_2 \in [0, \bar{v}r]} |f_+(s+r, j, \ell_1) - f_+(s+r, j, \ell_2)| = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.26})$$

Combining (B.23)–(B.26), we obtain that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{J}_4(r) = v(i) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left((G^+ g^+) \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right). \quad (\text{B.27})$$

Finally, in view of (4.13), (B.16), (B.20), (B.21), (B.22), and (B.27), for any $g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ and $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_-$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_+}{\partial s} (J^+ g^+)(s, i) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{r} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s+r,i,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) \right) \\ &= v(i) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left((G^+ g^+) \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) - \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}} \Lambda_s(i, j) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,j,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.28})$$

Moreover, by (4.17), (4.18), and the definitions of $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$ (cf. the end of Section 2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} &v(i) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,0} \left((G^+ g^+) \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) - \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}} \Lambda_s(i, j) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,j,0} \left(g^+ \left(Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^1, Z_{\tilde{\tau}_0^+}^2 \right) \right) \\ &= v(i) (J^+ G^+ g^+)(s, i) - \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_+} \Lambda_s(i, j) g^+(s, j) - \sum_{j \in \mathbf{E}_-} \Lambda_s(i, j) (J^+ g^+)(s, j) \\ &= v(i) (J^+ G^+ g^+)(s, i) - (\tilde{\mathbf{C}} g^+)(s, i) - (\tilde{\mathbf{D}} J^+ g^+)(s, i), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.29})$$

Putting together (B.28) and (B.29), we deduce (4.26), which completes the proof.

C Proofs of lemmas from Section 4.4

Proof of Lemma 4.8. This is a direct consequence of [Dyn65, Chapter I, 1.2.B & 1.3.C]. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.9. Let $h \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$. For any $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, by (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} (h(Z_t^1, Z_t^2, Z_t^3)) - h(s, i, a) \right) &= \frac{1}{t} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} \left(h(s+t, Z_t^2, Z_t^3) - h(s+t, Z_t^2, a) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{t} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} (h(s+t, Z_t^2, a)) - h(s+t, i, a) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{t} (h(s+t, i, a) - h(s, i, a)). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

For the first term in (C.1), routine calculation using (4.5), (4.8) and dominated convergence implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} \left(h \left(s+t, Z_t^2, a + \int_0^t v(Z_r^2) dr \right) - h(s+t, Z_t^2, a) \right) = v(i) \frac{\partial h}{\partial a}(s, i, a). \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Next, for the second term in (C.1), routine calculation using (4.8), (4.2), (2.4), and (2.5) implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} (h(s+t, Z_t^2, a)) - h(s+t, i, a) \right) = (\Lambda_s h(s, \cdot, a))(i). \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Combining (C.1)–(C.3) leads to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a} (h(Z_t^1, Z_t^2, Z_t^3)) - h(s, i, a) \right) = v(i) \frac{\partial}{\partial a} h(s, i, a) + (\Lambda_s h(s, \cdot, a))(i) + \frac{\partial h}{\partial s}(s, i, a).$$

Since the semigroup induced by $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is Feller, by [BSW13, Theorem 1.33], the above pointwise limit is uniform for all $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

To proceed with the proof of Lemma 4.10, we first state the following auxiliary result, whose proof is a straightforward application of contraction mapping theorem and can be obtained from the authors upon request.

Lemma C.1. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $h^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ with $\text{supp } h^+ \subset [0, \eta_{h^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$, for some $\eta_{h^+} \in (0, \infty)$, there exists a unique solution $\Phi \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ to*

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(s, i) = \int_s^{\eta_{h^+}} \left(((\tilde{\mathbf{A}} - \lambda \mathbf{V}^+ + \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{S}^+) \Phi)(t, i) + (\mathbf{V}^+ h^+)(t, i) \right) dt, & (s, i) \in [0, \eta_{h^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+, \\ \Phi(s, i) = 0, & (s, i) \in ([\eta_{h^+}, \infty) \times \mathbf{E}_+) \cup \{(\infty, \partial)\}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

and furthermore, $\Phi \in C_c^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$.

Moreover, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\Phi \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ is a solution to (C.4) if and only if $\Phi \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ solves

$$(\lambda - H^+) \Phi = h^+ \quad (\text{C.5})$$

subject to $\Phi = 0$ on $([\eta_{h^+}, \infty) \times \mathbf{E}_+) \cup \{(\infty, \partial)\}$. Consequently, there exists a unique solution $\Phi \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ to (C.5) subject to $\Phi = 0$ on $([\eta_{h^+}, \infty) \times \mathbf{E}_+) \cup \{(\infty, \partial)\}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.10. Let $g^+ \in C_c(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ with $\text{supp } g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$ for some $\eta_{g^+} \in (0, \infty)$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, define R_λ on $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ by

$$R_\lambda h^+ := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \ell} \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ h^+ d\ell, \quad h^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}).$$

The integral on the right-hand side above is well defined since \mathcal{Q}_ℓ^+ is a contraction mapping, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In order to prove that $\text{supp } \mathcal{Q}_\ell g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$, it is sufficient to show that $\text{supp } R_\lambda g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$. Indeed, if the later is true, then for any $(s, i) \in [\eta_{g^+}, \infty) \times \mathbf{E}_+$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \ell} (\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i) d\ell = 0, \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in (0, \infty),$$

which implies that (cf. [Dyn65, Lemma 1.1]), $(\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i) = 0$ for almost every $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Since $(\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ , we have $(\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i) = 0$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

By [EK05, Proposition I.2.1], for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, the operator $(\lambda - H^+) : C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}) \rightarrow C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ is invertible and $(\lambda - H^+)^{-1} = R_\lambda$ (so that R_λ is the resolvent at λ of H^+). Hence, the equation (C.5) has a unique solution $\Phi_\lambda = R_\lambda g^+ = (\lambda - H^+)^{-1} g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$. On the other hand, by Lemma C.1, (C.5) (with h^+ replaced by g^+) has a unique solution in $C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ which vanishes in $[\eta_{g^+}, \infty) \times \mathbf{E}_+$. Therefore, $\text{supp } R_\lambda g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$, which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The proof of Lemma 4.11 requires the following additional lemma.

Lemma C.2. *For any $g^+ \in C_c(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ with $\text{supp } g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$ for some $\eta_{g^+} \in (0, \infty)$, $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+\|_\infty = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma C.1, when $\lambda = 0$, (C.5) (or equivalently, (C.4)) has a unique solution $\Phi_0 \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ subject to $\text{supp } \Phi_0 \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$. Note that this does NOT imply the invertibility of H^+ (or equivalently, the existence of 0-resolvent of H^+).

We first show that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_0\|_\infty = 0$. From the proof of Lemma 4.10, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $\Phi_\lambda = R_\lambda g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ is the unique solution to (C.5) with $\text{supp } \Phi_\lambda \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$. It follows from Lemma C.1 that Φ_λ is the unique solution to (C.4). Hence, for any $s \in [0, \eta_{g^+}]$, we have

$$\sup_{(t,i) \in \mathcal{X}_s^+} |(\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_0)(t, i)| = \sup_{(t,i) \in \mathcal{X}_s^+} \left| \int_t^{\eta_{g^+}} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}} - \lambda \mathbf{V}^+ + \tilde{\mathbf{B}} S^+ \right) (\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_0) + \lambda \mathbf{V}^+ \Phi_0 \right(r, i) dr \Big|,$$

where we define $\mathcal{X}_s^+ := [s, \infty) \times \mathbf{E}_+$ and $\mathcal{X}_0^+ = \mathcal{X}_+$. In addition, we note that for any $(r, j) \in [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_-$, by the conditions imposed on S^+ and invoking Riesz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem for the functional $g^+ \mapsto S^+ g^+(r, j)$, we have

$$|(S^+(\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_0))(r, j)| \leq \|S^+\|_\infty \sup_{(u,k) \in \mathcal{X}_r^+} |(\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_0)(u, k)|.$$

Therefore, for any $s \in [0, \eta_{g^+}]$, we have

$$\sup_{(t,i) \in \mathcal{X}_s^+} |(\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_0)(t, i)| \leq \lambda \|\mathbf{V}^+\|_\infty \|\Phi_0\|_\infty (\eta_{g^+} - s) + M_\lambda \int_s^{\eta_{g^+}} \sup_{(u,k) \in \mathcal{X}_r^+} |(\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_0)(u, k)| dr,$$

where $M_\lambda := \|\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|_\infty + \lambda \|\mathbf{V}^+\|_\infty + \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\|_\infty \|S^+\|_\infty$. By Gronwall inequality, we obtain that

$$\|\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_0\|_\infty = \sup_{(t,i) \in \mathcal{X}_0^+} |(\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_0)(t, i)| \leq \lambda \|\mathbf{V}^+\|_\infty \|\Phi_0\|_\infty \eta_{g^+} e^{M_\lambda \eta_{g^+}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Next, we will show that $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+\|_\infty = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that g^+ is nonnegative. Otherwise, we can prove the above statement for the positive and negative part of g^+ , denoted by g_p^+ and g_n^+ respectively. Then, $\|\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+\|_\infty \leq \|\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g_p^+\|_\infty + \|\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g_n^+\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Note that when g^+ is nonnegative, since \mathcal{Q}_ℓ^+ is positive, we have $\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+ \geq 0$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

To begin with, since $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_0\|_\infty = 0$ and $\|\Phi_0\|_\infty < \infty$. Hence, for any $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+$,

$$\infty > |\Phi_0(s, i)| = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} |\Phi_\lambda(s, i)| = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \ell} (\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i) d\ell = \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i) d\ell, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

where we have used the monotone convergence in the last equality.

Suppose that $\limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+\|_\infty > 0$, then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $(s_n, i_n, \ell_n) \in \mathcal{X}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell_n = \infty$, such that $(\mathcal{Q}_{\ell_n}^+ g^+)(s_n, i_n) \geq \varepsilon_0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\ell_{n+1} - \ell_n > 1$ and $i_n = i_0 \in \mathbf{E}_+$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, by part (i), $\text{supp } \mathcal{Q}_{\ell_n}^+ g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}]$, and so $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}]$, and hence we may also assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s_0$ for some $s_0 \in [0, \eta_{g^+}]$.

Since $(\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, for any $b > 0$,

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_{\ell+b}^+ g^+ - \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+\|_\infty = \|\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ (\mathcal{Q}_b^+ g^+ - g^+)\|_\infty \leq \|\mathcal{Q}_b^+ g^+ - g^+\|_\infty.$$

In particular, $(\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s, i)$ is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ , uniformly for all $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+$. Thus, there exists a universal constant $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$, such that for any $b \in [0, \delta_0]$, $(\mathcal{Q}_{\ell_n+b}^+ g^+)(s_n, i_0) > \varepsilon_0/2$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that

$$\int_{\ell_n}^{\ell_n+\delta_0} (\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s_n, i_0) dl > \frac{\delta_0 \varepsilon_0}{2}. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

On the other hand, by [EK05, Proposition I.1.5 (a)], $\int_0^{\delta_0} \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+ dl \in \mathcal{D}(H^+) = C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, so that by [EK05, Proposition I.1.5 (b)] and [Dyn65, 1.2.B],

$$\int_{\ell_n}^{\ell_n+\delta_0} \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+ dl = \int_0^{\delta_0} \mathcal{Q}_{\ell_n}^+ (\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+) dl = \mathcal{Q}_{\ell_n}^+ \int_0^{\delta_0} \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+ dl \in \mathcal{D}(H^+).$$

Hence, by (3.5) and [EK05, Proposition I.1.5 (a) & (b)], and since $(\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+)_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a contraction semigroup,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_{\ell_n}^{\ell_n+\delta_0} \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+ dl \right\|_\infty &= \left\| (V^+ H^+ - \tilde{A} - \tilde{B} S^+) \int_{\ell_n}^{\ell_n+\delta_0} \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+ dl \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|V^+\|_\infty \left\| H^+ \int_0^{\delta_0} \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+ dl \right\|_\infty + \|\tilde{A} + \tilde{B} S^+\|_\infty \left\| \int_0^{\delta_0} \mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+ dl \right\|_\infty =: M \in (0, \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

Combining (C.7) and (C.8), for any $r \in (-\delta_0 \varepsilon_0 / (4M), \delta_0 \varepsilon_0 / (4M))$, we have

$$\int_{\ell_n}^{\ell_n+\delta_0} (\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s_n + r, i_0) dl > \frac{\delta_0 \varepsilon_0}{4}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (\text{C.9})$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough so that $s_0 \in (s_n - \delta_0 \varepsilon_0 / (4M), s_n + \delta_0 \varepsilon_0 / (4M))$ for all $n \geq N$. Since $\ell_{n+1} - \ell_n > 0$ and $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$, the intervals $(\ell_n, \ell_n + \delta_0)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are non-overlapping. Therefore, we obtain from (C.9) that

$$\int_0^\infty (\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s_0, i_0) dl \geq \sum_{n=N}^\infty \int_{\ell_n}^{\ell_n+\delta_0} (\mathcal{Q}_\ell^+ g^+)(s_0, i_0) dl = \infty,$$

which clearly contradicts (C.6). The proof of the lemma is now complete. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.11. Let $g^+ \in C_c^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ with $\text{supp } g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$ for some $\eta_{g^+} \in (0, \infty)$, and fix $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that \widehat{F}_+ is defined as in (4.27).

We first show that $\widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \ell) \in C_0(\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, \ell])$. For any $i \in \mathbf{E}_+$, $s, s' \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $a, a' \in (-\infty, \ell]$, we have

$$\left| (\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+)(s, i) - (\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a'}^+ g^+)(s', i) \right| \leq \left| (\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+)(s, i) - (\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+)(s', i) \right| + \|\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ - \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a'}^+ g^+\|_\infty.$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-}^+ g^+$ is strongly continuous on $(-\infty, \ell]$, we see that $(\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-}^+ g^+)(\cdot, i)$ is jointly continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times (-\infty, \ell]$. Moreover, for any $i \in \mathbf{E}_-$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (S^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+)(s, i) - (S^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a'}^+ g^+)(s', i) \right| \\ & \leq \left| (S^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+)(s, i) - (S^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+)(s', i) \right| + \|S^+\|_\infty \|\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ - \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a'}^+ g^+\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$, the condition $(a^+)(i)$ implies that $S^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_-})$. Together with the strong continuity of $\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-}^+ g^+$ on $(-\infty, \ell]$ as well as the boundedness of S^+ , we obtain that $(S^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-}^+ g^+)(\cdot, i)$ is jointly continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times (-\infty, \ell]$. In view of (4.27), we obtain that $\widehat{F}_+(\cdot, i, \cdot, \ell)$ is jointly continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times (-\infty, \ell]$ for any $i \in \mathbf{E}$. It remains to show that $\widehat{F}_+(\cdot, i, \cdot, \ell)$ vanishes at infinity for any $i \in \mathbf{E}$. By Lemma 4.10, $\text{supp } \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$, and the condition $(a^+)(i)$ implies that $\text{supp } S^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_-$, so that $\text{supp } \widehat{F}_+(\cdot, i, a, \ell) \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}]$. Moreover, by Lemma C.2, $\lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ = 0$ strongly, and since $\|S^+\|_\infty < \infty$, we also have $\lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} S^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ = 0$ strongly. Hence, $\widehat{F}_+(\cdot, i, \cdot, \ell)$ vanishes at infinity for any $i \in \mathbf{E}$, and therefore, $\widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \ell) \in C_0(\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, \ell])$.

Next, we will show that $\partial \widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \ell) / \partial a$ exists and belongs to $C_0(\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, \ell])$. Since $g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}) = \mathcal{D}(H^+)$, for any $a \in (-\infty, \ell]$, by [EK05, Proposition I.1.5 (b)], we have $\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \in \mathcal{D}(H^+)$, and

$$\frac{d}{da} \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ = -\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ H^+ g^+ = -H^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+. \quad (\text{C.10})$$

Together with Lemma 4.8, we obtain that $\partial \widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, a, \ell) / \partial a$ at any $a \in (-\infty, \ell]$, and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, a, \ell) = - \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ H^+ g^+ \\ S^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ H^+ g^+ \end{pmatrix} (\cdot, \cdot).$$

Moreover, by (3.5) and the condition $(a^+)(i)$, we have $H^+ g^+ \in C_0(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+})$ with $\text{supp } H^+ g^+ \subset [0, \eta_{g^+}] \times \mathbf{E}_+$. Using arguments similar to those leading to $\widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \ell) \in C_0(\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, \ell])$ above, we conclude that $\partial \widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \ell) / \partial a \in C_0(\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, \ell])$.

Finally, we will show that $\partial \widehat{F}_+(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \ell) / \partial s$ exists and belongs to $C_0(\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, \ell])$. For any $a \in (-\infty, \ell]$, since $\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_+}) = \mathcal{D}(H^+)$, by (3.3), we have

$$\mathbb{V}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \tilde{\Lambda} \right) \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ S^+ \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ = \begin{pmatrix} I^+ \\ S^+ \end{pmatrix} H^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+.$$

Consequently, in view of (4.27), $\partial \widehat{F}_+(s, i, a, \ell) / \partial s$ exists at any $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, \ell]$, and

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{F}_+}{\partial s}(s, i, a, \ell) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (S^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+) \end{pmatrix} (s, i) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{V}^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ H^+ g^+ - (\tilde{\mathbf{A}} + \tilde{\mathbf{B}} S^+) \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \\ \mathbb{V}^- S^+ \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ H^+ g^+ + (\tilde{\mathbf{C}} + \tilde{\mathbf{D}} S^+) \mathcal{Q}_{\ell-a}^+ g^+ \end{pmatrix} (s, i).$$

With similar technique as before, the right-hand sides above, as a function of (s, i, a) , belongs to $C_0(\mathcal{X} \times (-\infty, \ell])$. \square

D Two additional technical lemmas

In this section, we establish two additional technical lemmas that are used in the proofs of our main theorems. We begin with a lemma regarding the distributions of the first and second jump time of Z^2 (see also [RSST99, Section 8.4.2]).

Lemma D.1. *Let $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ be the first and the second jump time of Z^2 , respectively. Then, for any $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$,*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 > r) = \exp\left(\int_s^{s+r} \Lambda_u(i, i) du\right). \quad (\text{D.1})$$

In particular,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq r) \leq Kr, \quad (\text{D.2})$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}(\tilde{\gamma}_2 \leq r) \leq K^2 r^2. \quad (\text{D.3})$$

Proof. For any $(s, i, a) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, by (4.7) and (4.2),

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 > r) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}\left(\inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : Z_t^2 \neq Z_{t-}^2\} > r\right) = \exp\left(\int_s^{s+r} \Lambda_u(i, i) du\right).$$

Hence, by Assumption 2.1 (i),

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq r) = 1 - \exp\left(\int_s^{s+r} \Lambda_u(i, i) du\right) \leq 1 - e^{-Kr} \leq Kr.$$

Moreover, for any $\tilde{\omega} \in \tilde{\Omega}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}_2(\tilde{\omega}) &= \inf\left\{t \in [\tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\omega}), \infty] : Z_t^2(\tilde{\omega}) \neq Z_{t-}^2(\tilde{\omega})\right\} = \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\omega}) + \inf\left\{t \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+ : Z_{(\tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\omega})+t)-}^2(\tilde{\omega}) \neq Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\omega})+t}^2(\tilde{\omega})\right\} \\ &= \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\omega}) + \inf\left\{t \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+ : Z_{t-}^2(\theta_{\tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\omega})}\tilde{\omega}) \neq Z_t^2(\theta_{\tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\omega})}\tilde{\omega})\right\} = \tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\omega}) + (\tilde{\gamma}_1 \circ \theta_{\tilde{\gamma}_1})(\tilde{\omega}), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$(Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1 \circ \theta_{\tilde{\gamma}_1})(\tilde{\omega}) = Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \circ \theta_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}}^1(\theta_{\tilde{\gamma}_1(\tilde{\omega})}\tilde{\omega}) = Z_{(\tilde{\gamma}_1 + \tilde{\gamma}_1 \circ \theta_{\tilde{\gamma}_1})(\tilde{\omega})}^1(\tilde{\omega}) = Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_2}^1(\tilde{\omega}).$$

Therefore, by (4.6), the strong Markov property of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ (cf. [RW94, Theorem III.9.4]), and (D.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}(\tilde{\gamma}_2 \leq r) &= \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}\left(Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_2}^1 \in [s, s+r]\right) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}\left(Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1 \in [s, s+r], Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_2}^1 \in [s, s+r]\right) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}\left(Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1 \in [s, s+r], Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1 \circ \theta_{\tilde{\gamma}_1} \in [s, s+r]\right) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1 \in [s, s+r]\}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}\left(Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1 \circ \theta_{\tilde{\gamma}_1} \in [s, s+r] \mid \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}\right)\right) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{s,i,a}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1 \in [s, s+r]\}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^2, Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^3}\left(Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1 \in [s, s+r]\right)\right) \\ &\leq Kr \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}\left(Z_{\tilde{\gamma}_1}^1 \in [s, s+r]\right) = Kr \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a}(\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq r) \leq K^2 r^2, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The next lemma establishes some relationship between $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ and $\tilde{\tau}_\ell^+$.

Lemma D.2. *For any $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and $h \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 > h/v(i)\}} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 > h/v(i)\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\tau}_{a+h}^+ = h/v(i)\}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a} - a.s., \quad (\text{D.4})$$

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq h/v(i)\}} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq h/v(i)\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \tilde{\tau}_{a+h}^+\}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s,i,a} - a.s.. \quad (\text{D.5})$$

Proof. For any $(s, i) \in \mathcal{X}_+$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and $h \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ Z_t^3 = a + \int_0^t v(Z_u^2) du, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\} \cap \left\{ \tilde{\gamma}_1 > \frac{h}{v(i)} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ Z_t^3 = a + \int_0^t v(Z_u^2) du, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\} \cap \left\{ \tilde{\gamma}_1 > \frac{h}{v(i)} \right\} \cap \left\{ Z_t^3 = a + v(i)t, \text{ for all } t \in [0, \tilde{\gamma}_1] \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ Z_t^3 < a + h, \text{ for all } t \in \left[0, \frac{h}{v(i)}\right); Z_{h/v(i)}^3 = a + h; Z_t^3 > a + h, \text{ for all } t \in \left(\frac{h}{v(i)}, \tilde{\gamma}_1\right] \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ \tilde{\tau}_{a+h}^+ = \frac{h}{v(i)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ Z_t^3 = a + \int_0^t v(Z_u^2) du, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\} \cap \left\{ \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{h}{v(i)} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ Z_t^3 = a + \int_0^t v(Z_u^2) du, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\} \cap \left\{ \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \frac{h}{v(i)} \right\} \cap \left\{ Z_t^3 \leq a + h, \text{ for all } t \in [0, \tilde{\gamma}_1] \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ Z_t^3 \leq a + h, \text{ for all } t \in [0, \tilde{\gamma}_1] \right\} \subset \left\{ \tilde{\gamma}_1 \leq \tilde{\tau}_{a+h}^+ \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, (D.4) and (D.5) follow from (4.8). \square

Acknowledgment The authors express their gratitude to the anonymous Referee and to the Associate Editor for valuable comments and suggestion, which helped us to improve this paper.

References

- [APU03] F. Avram, M. R. Pistorius, and M. Usabel. The two barriers ruin problem via a Wiener Hopf decomposition approach. *An. Univ. Craiova Ser. Mat. Inform.*, 30(1):38–44, 2003.
- [BRW80] M. T. Barlow, L. C. G. Rogers, and D. Williams. Wiener-Hopf factorization for matrices. *Séminaire de Probabilités de Strasbourg*, 14:324–331, 1980.
- [BCGH19] T. R. Bielecki, I. Cialenco, R. Gong, and Y. Huang. Wiener-Hopf factorization for time-inhomogeneous Markov chains and its application. *Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, forthcoming, 2019.
- [Bot14] B. Böttcher. Feller evolution systems: generators and approximation. *Stoch. Dynam.*, 14(3), 1350025 (15 pages), 2014.

- [BSW13] B. Böttcher, R. L. Schilling and J. Wang. *Lévy Matters III - Lévy-type processes: construction, approximation and sample path properties. Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 2009, Springer, 2013.
- [Dyn65] E. B. Dynkin. *Markov processes*, Vol. 1. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 1965.
- [EK05] S. N. Ethier and T. G. Kurtz. *Markov processes characterization and convergence*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2005.
- [GS04] I. I. Gikhman and A. V. Skorokhod. *The theory of stochastic processes*, Vol. II. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 2004.
- [JS03] J. Jacod and A. N. Shiryaev. *Limit theorems for stochastic processes*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 2003.
- [KS98] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, 2nd Edition. Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 113, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1998.
- [KW90] J. Kennedy and D. Williams. Probabilistic factorization of a quadratic matrix polynomial. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 107(3):591–600, 1990.
- [MP11] A. Mijatović and M. R. Pistorius. Exotic derivatives under stochastic volatility models with jumps. In *Adv. Math. Meth. Fin.*, 455–508, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 2011.
- [Rog94] L. C. G. Rogers. Fluid models in queueing theory and Wiener-Hopf factorization of Markov chains. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 4(2):390–413, 1994.
- [RW94] L. C. G. Rogers and D. Williams. *Diffusions, Markov processes, and martingales, Vol. I: Foundations*, 2nd Edition. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK, 1994.
- [RSST99] T. Rolski, H. Schmidli, V. Schmidt, and J. Teugels. *Stochastic processes for insurance and finance*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK, 1999.
- [Rud87] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd Edition. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, NY, USA, 1987.
- [Wil08] D. Williams. A new look at ‘Markovian’ Wiener-Hopf theory. In *Séminaire de probabilités XLI. Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 1934, 349–369, Springer, Berlin, 2008.